arXiv:1412.7941v3 [math.AG] 31 Aug 2015

QUOTIENTS OF SCHEMES BY α_p OR μ_p ACTIONS IN CHARACTERISTIC $p > 0$.

NIKOLAOS TZIOLAS

Abstract. This paper studies schemes X defined over a field of characteristic $p > 0$ which admit a nontrivial α_p or μ_p action. In particular, the structure of the quotient map $X \to Y$ is investigated. Information on local properties of the quotient Y, as singularities and local Picard groups, structure theorems for the quotient map and adjunction formulas for the quotient map are obtained.

1. Introduction

Let X be a scheme defined over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that X admits an α_p or μ_p-action. Then it is well known that the quotient $\pi\colon X \to Y$ exists [Mu70]. It is a finite purely inseparable map of degree p. The purpose of this paper is to study the structure of the map π and of the quotient Y.

There are many reasons for studying this problem. Whenever there is a group scheme G acting on a scheme X defined over a field k, it is natural to consider the quotient of X by G, if the quotient exists, and then by studying the quotient map to get information about the geometry of X. If G is finite and commutative defined over a separably closed field, then its structure is classified [Pi05]. If the characteristic of the base field k is zero, then G is smooth and it is the obtained by successive extensions of the group schemes $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. If the base field has characteristic $p > 0$, then G is not necessarily smooth and it is obtained by successive extensions of the group schemes $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, α_p and μ_p. Therefore in order to study the quotient by G it is essential to understand quotients by $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, α_p and μ_p. If $n \neq p$, then quotients by $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ are similar to those in characteristic zero which have been studied extensively. The group scheme μ_p is diagonalizable and because of this μ_p-actions are much easier to describe than α_p or $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ actions which are hard in characteristic $p > 0$.

One case of particular interest is when G is a subgroup scheme of the automorphism scheme $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of a variety X. The study of quotients of schemes by subgroup schemes of their automorphism group is intimately related to the study of the moduli of such schemes. In fact my main motivation for writing this paper is the study of the moduli space and moduli stack of canonically polarized surfaces and its compactification, the moduli space of stable surfaces. In characteristic zero it is well known that the moduli space exists and moreover the corresponding stack is Deligne-Mumford. However, in positive characteristic this is not true

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14L30, 14L15; Secondary 14J15, 14J50.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic geometry, group scheme, actions, quotient, positive characteristic .

The author is supported by a Marie Curie International Outgoing Fellowship , grant no. PIOF-GA-2013-624345.

any more. The reason for this failure is that in positive characteristic, the automorphism scheme $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ of a canonically polarized surface X, even though it is still a finite group scheme, it is not necessarily smooth anymore. Hence α_p or μ_p naturally appear as subgroup schemes of the automorphism scheme $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ and therefore induce nontrivial α_p or μ_p actions on X. Therefore the study of quotients of a stable surface by an α_p or μ_p action is essential in order to study the moduli stack of stable surfaces in positive characteristic.

This paper was written in order to provide the basic technical tools in order to study the quotient of a stable surface by an α_p or μ_p action with the aim to investigate the moduli stack of stable surfaces in positive characteristic. Some of the results of this paper are not new. However, I intended this paper to be self contained and down to earth so that it can be used as reference for α_p or μ_p actions. For this reason, and sometimes due to the lack of proper reference, I included proofs of even some known results. In any case I comment on the originality or not of the results presented in this paper and give reference for those that are not new. A general reference for the theory of group schemes and group scheme actions is [DG70].

This paper is organised as follows.

Section 2 introduces some terminology useful in this paper and a general structure theorem of purely inseparable maps is presented.

In section 3 it is shown that the existence of a nontrivial α_p or μ_p action on a scheme X is equivalent to the existence of a nontrivial global vector field D on X such that either $D^p = 0$ or $D^p = D$, respectively.

In section 4 local properties of the quotient Y of a scheme X by an α_p or μ_p-action are presented. In particular information is provided about the possible singularities of Y. These results are in Propositions 4.6, 4.7.

In section 5 the theory of α_L-torsors is reviewed. α_L-torsors were, as far as I know, originally introduced by T. Ekedhal [Ek86] and under certain conditions they are the simplest examples of quotients by α_p or μ_p-actions. Theorem 5.3 presents an explicit classification of α_L-torsors and some of their important basic properties. Some of the results of this theorem were already known and some are new.

In section 6 quotients of a scheme by a nontrivial μ_p action are studied. Theorem 6.5 presents a general structure theorem of such quotients. In particular it is shown that if $\pi\colon X \to Y$ is the quotient of a normal scheme X by a nontrivial μ_p-action, then X is the normalization of an α_L-torsor over Y. Moreover, if X is smooth, then locally around any connected component of the fixed locus of the action, π is an α_L-torsor.

In section 6 quotients of a scheme by a nontrivial α_p action are studied. Theorem 7.5 presents a general structure theorem of such quotients.

In section 7 an adjunction formula for the quotient map $\pi\colon X \to Y$ of the quotient of a scheme X by a nontrivial α_p or μ_p-action is obtained. This is the content of Theorem 8.1. If X is normal then this is well known [R-S76]. However if X is not normal this is new. Quotients of non-normal schemes by α_p or μ_p actions are very important from the point of view of the moduli of stable varieties since non normal stable varieties naturally appear as limits of even smooth canonically polarised varieties and therefore their geometry needs to be investigated.

2. Preliminaries.

2.1. **Notation-Terminology.** In this paper, unless otherwise specified, all schemes are defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$.

Let X be a scheme defined over a field k. The tangent sheaf of X is the sheaf $\mathcal{T}_X = \mathcal{H}om_X(\Omega_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. $Der_k(X)$ denotes the space of global k-derivations of X (or equivalently of global vector fields). It is canonically identified with $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\Omega_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$.

A vector field $D \in \mathrm{Der}_k(X)$ is called of additive type if $D^p = 0$ and of multiplicative type if $D^p = D$.

A prime divisor Z of X is called an integral divisor of D if and only if locally there is a derivation D' of X such that $D = fD'$, $f \in K(X)$, $D'(I_Z) \subset I_Z$ and $D'(\mathcal{O}_X) \not\subset I_Z$ [R-S76].

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on X. By $\mathcal{F}^{[n]}$ we denote the double dual $(\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n})^{**}$.

A point $P \in X$ is called a normal crossing singularity if and only if

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P} \cong \frac{L[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]}{(x_1 \cdots x_k)},$$

where $L \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,P}$ is a coefficient field of $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}$.

2.2. **General structure theory of purely inseparable maps.** Let X be a normal variety defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ be a coherent subsheaf of the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_X$ of X. $\mathcal{F}$ is called saturated if $\mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{F}$ is torsion free and a subbundle of $\mathcal{T}_X$ if $\mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{F}$ is locally free.

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ be a coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_X$. $\mathcal{F}$ is called a foliation on X if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under Lie bracket and it is p-closed [Ek87]. These conditions mean that if $U \subset X$ is any open subset of X and any section $D \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, then $D^p \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ and $[D, D'] \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ for any $D' \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, D, D' viewed as a k-derivations of $\mathcal{O}_U$.

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ be a foliation on X. We define $Ann(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ to be the sheaf of subrings of $\mathcal{O}_X$ on X defined by

$$Ann(\mathcal{F})(U) = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_X(U) |\ D(a) = 0, \forall D \in \mathcal{F}(U)\},$$

where $U \subset X$ is any open subset, and the sections of $\mathcal{F}$ over U are viewed as k-derivations of $\mathcal{O}_U$. Then $\mathcal{O}_X^p \subset Ann(\mathcal{F})$ and hence there exists a factorization

(2.0.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{F} & X^{(1)} \\ & {\scriptstyle\pi}\searrow \quad \nearrow{\scriptstyle\phi} & \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

where $F\colon X \to X^{(1)}$ is the relative Frobenius and $Y = \mathrm{Spec}\,(Ann(\mathcal{F}))$. Conversely, let Y be any scheme that fits in a commutative diagram as above and let $\mathcal{F} = Ann(\mathcal{O}_Y) \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ be defined by $\mathcal{F}(U) = \{D \in \mathcal{T}_X(U) |\ D(a) = 0, \forall a \in \mathcal{O}_Y(U)\}$, for any open $U \subset X$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a foliation. The next proposition says that these two operations establish a one-to-one correspondence between foliations and normal varieties between X and $X^{(1)}$.

Proposition 2.1. [Ek87] *Let X be a normal variety defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$.*

(1) *Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ be a foliation on X of rank r and let $Y = \mathrm{Spec}\,(Ann(\mathcal{F}))$. Then $[K(X) : K(Y)] = p^r$.*

(2) *Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ be a foliation on X and Y a normal variety between X and $X^{(1)}$ as in diagram 2.0.1. Then $Ann(Ann(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{F}$ and $Ann(Ann(\mathcal{O}_Y)) = \mathcal{O}_Y$. Hence there exists a one to one correspondence between foliations on X and normal varieties Y between X and $X^{(1)}$. The correspondence is given by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathrm{Spec}(Ann\,(\mathcal{F}))$ and $Y \mapsto Ann(\mathcal{O}_Y)$.*
(3) *Suppose that X is smooth. Then Y is smooth if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is a subbundle.*
(4) *Suppose that X is smooth and $\mathcal{F}$ be a subbundle of $\mathcal{T}_X$. Let $\pi\colon X \to Y = \mathrm{Spec}\,(Ann(\mathcal{F})$ the natural map. Then there exists a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \to F^*(\mathcal{F}^*) \to \pi^*\Omega_{Y/k} \to \Omega_{X/k} \to \mathcal{F}^* \to 0,$$

where $F\colon X \to X$ is the absolute Frobenious map. Therefore

$$\omega_X = \pi^*\omega_Y \otimes (\wedge^r \mathcal{F})^{p-1},$$

where r is the rank of $\mathcal{F}$.

The correspondence given in the previous proposition between foliations on X and normal varieties between X and $X^{(1)}$ is a natural extension of the Jacobson correspondence between subfields of a field K containing K^p and sub-Lie algebras of $Der(K)$ closed under p-powers [Jac64], [B03].

3. Relation between α_p, μ_p actions and derivations.

The purpose of this section is to show the relation between nontrivial μ_p or α_p actions and global vector fields.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. X admits a nontrivial α_p or μ_p action if and only if X has a nontrivial global vector field D such that $D^p = D$ or $D^p = 0$, respectively.*

Proof. Suppose that X has a nontrivial vector field D such that either $D^p = 0$ or $D^p = D$. Define the map

$$\Phi\colon \mathcal{O}_X \to \frac{\mathcal{O}_X[t]}{(t^p)}$$

by setting

$$\Phi(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{D^k a}{k!} t^k$$

If D is of additive type (i.e., $D^p = 0$) then it is straightforward to check that Φ defines an action α_p on X and if it is of multiplicative type (i.e., $D^p = D$) then it defines an action of μ_p on X.

Suppose now that X admits a nontrivial α_p or μ_p action. I will show that X admits a nontrivial vector field D of either additive or multiplicative type, respectively. I will only do the case when an α_p action exists. The other is similar and is omitted. Suppose that X admits a nontrivial α_p-action. Let

$$\mu\colon \alpha_p \times X \to X$$

be the map that defines the action. Let

$$\mu^*\colon \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \frac{k[t]}{(t^p)}$$

be the corresponding map on the sheaf of rings level. The additive group α_p is $\mathrm{Spec}\frac{k[t]}{(t^p)}$ as a scheme with group scheme structure given by

$$m^*\colon \frac{k[t]}{(t^p)} \to \frac{k[t]}{(t^p)} \otimes \frac{k[t]}{(t^p)}$$

defined by $m^*(t) = 1 \otimes t + t \otimes 1$. Then by the definition of group scheme action, there is a commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\mu^*} & \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \frac{k[t]}{(t^p)} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu^*\otimes 1} \\ \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \frac{k[t]}{(t^p)} & \xrightarrow{1\otimes m^*} & \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \frac{k[t]}{(t^p)} \otimes_k \frac{k[t]}{(t^p)} \end{array}$$

The map μ^* is given by

$$\mu^*(a) = a \otimes 1 + \Phi_1(a) \otimes t + \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \Phi_k(a) \otimes t^k,$$

where $\Phi_k\colon \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$ are additive maps. The fact that μ^* is a sheaf of rings map shows that Φ_1 is a derivation which we call D. I will show by induction that $\Phi_k(a) = D^k a/k!$. From this it follows that any α_p action is induced by a global vector field D as in the first part of the proof.

From the commutativity of the previous diagram and the definition of μ^* and m^* it follows that

$$a \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + Da \otimes t \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \Phi_k(a) \otimes t^k \otimes 1 + Da \otimes 1 \otimes t + D^2 a \otimes t \otimes t +$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \Phi_k(Da) \otimes t^k \otimes t + \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \left(\Phi_k(a) \otimes 1 \otimes t^k + D(\Phi_k(a)) \otimes t \otimes t^k + \sum_{s=2}^{p-1} \Phi_s(\Phi_k(a)) \otimes t^s \otimes t^k \right) =$$

$$a \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + Da \otimes t \otimes 1 + Da \otimes 1 \otimes t + \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \Phi_k(a) \binom{k}{s} t^s \otimes t^{k-s}.$$

Equating the coefficients of $t^{k-1} \otimes t$ on both sides of the equation we get that $k\Phi_k(a)t^{k-1} \otimes t = \Phi_{k-1}(Da) \otimes t^{k-1} \otimes t$. By induction, $\Phi_{k-1} = D^{k-1}/(k-1)!$. Therefore $\Phi_k(a) = D^k a/k!$ as claimed. Moreover, equating the coefficients of $t \otimes t^{p-1}$ it follows that $D^p = 0$.

□

4. Existence and basic properties of the quotient.

Let X be a scheme of finite type over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that X admits a nontrivial α_p or μ_p action. Then it is well known [Mu70] that the quotient $\pi\colon X \to Y$ of X by the α_p or μ_p action exists as an algebraic scheme and that π is a finite morphism. In particular, by Proposition 3.1 the action is induced by a global derivation D on X such that either $D^p = 0$ or $D^p = D$. Then locally if $X = \mathrm{Spec}A$ then $Y = \mathrm{Spec}B$, where $B = A^D = \{a \in A,\ Da = 0\}$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.1, π corresponds to the foliation on X defined by the saturation $\mathcal{F}$ of the subsheaf of $\mathcal{T}_X$ generated by D.

4.1. **Local properties of the quotient.** The purpose of this section is to study the relation between the formation of quotients by α_p or μ_p actions and the operations of completion and localization.

The next proposition shows that the formation of quotient commutes with completion. This allows the calculation of the singularities of the quotient Y by passing to the completion.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (A, m_A) be a local ring and $D \in \mathrm{Der}(A)$ a derivation of A such that $D(m_A) \subset m_A$. Then D extends to a derivation $\hat{D}$ of the completion $\hat{A}$ of A along m_A and moreover*

$$\left(\hat{A}\right)^{\hat{D}} = \widehat{A^D},$$

where $\widehat{A^D}$ is the completion of A^D along the maximal ideal $m_A \cap A^D$.

Proof. Let $B = A^D$. Then A is a finitely generated B-module [Mu70]. Hence $\hat{A} = A \otimes_B \hat{B}$. Let $\Phi \colon A \to A$ be defined by $\Phi(a) = Da$, $a \in A$. Then Φ is a morphism of B-modules. Moreover there is an exact sequence of B-modules

$$0 \to B \to A \xrightarrow{\Phi} A$$

Tensoring with $\hat{A}$ over B and since $\hat{A}$ is a flat B-module it follows that the following sequence is exact

$$0 \to \hat{B} \to \hat{A} \xrightarrow{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{A}$$

But $\hat{\Phi}$ is given by $\hat{\Phi}(\hat{a}) = \hat{D}(\hat{a})$, for any $\hat{a} \in \hat{A}$. Therefore $\hat{B} = \left(\hat{A}\right)^{\hat{D}}$, as claimed. □

The next proposition shows that the formation of quotient commutes with localization.

Proposition 4.2. *Let A be a ring and $D \in \mathrm{Der}(A)$ a derivation of A. Let $P \subset A$ be a prime ideal. Then*

$$(A_P)^D = \left(A^D\right)_Q,$$

where $Q = A^D \cap P$.

Proof. Clearly $\left(A^D\right)_Q \subset (A_P)^D$. Let $a/s \in (A_P)^D$. Then $D(a/s) = 0$. But $a/s = (as^{p-1})/s^p$. Now $s^p \in A^D$, $s^p \notin P \cap A^D = Q$ and

$$D(as^{p-1}) = D(as^p/s) = s^p D(a/s) = 0.$$

Hence $a/s \in \left(A^D\right)_Q$ and therefore

$$(A_P)^D = \left(A^D\right)_Q,$$

as claimed. □

Definition 4.3. Let A be a ring and $D \in \mathrm{Der}(A)$ a derivation of A. Let $B = A^D = \{a \in A |\ Da = 0\}$. Then for any $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ we define

(1)
$$L_k(D) = \{a \in A |\ Da = ka\},$$

(2)
$$E_k(D) = \{a \in A |\ D^k a = 0\}.$$

The sets $L_k(D)$ and $E_k(D)$ have natural structures of B-modules.

As will be shown in sections 6 and 7, the B-modules $L_k(D)$ and $E_k(D)$ carry very important information about the structure of the quotient B. The first one in the case when $D^p = D$ and hence induces an action of μ_p on Spec$A$ and $E_k(D)$ in the case when $D^p = 0$ and hence induces an action of α_p on Spec$A$.

The next proposition shows that $L_k(D)$ and $E_k(D)$ commute with completion and localization. This allows their calculation in local coordinates.

Proposition 4.4. *Let A be a Noetherian ring and $D \in \mathrm{Der}(A)$ a derivation of A. Let $P \subset A$ be a prime ideal of A. Then*

(1) *D lifts naturally to a derivation D_P of the localization A_P and moreover*
$$L_k(D_P) \cong (L_k(D))_Q,$$
$$E_k(D_P) \cong (E_k(D))_Q,$$
where $Q = A^D \cap P$.

(2) *If $D(P) \subset P$, then D lifts to a derivation $\hat{D}$ of the completion $\hat{A}$ of A at P and moreover,*
$$\widehat{L_k(D)} \cong L_k(\hat{D}),$$
$$\widehat{E_k(D)} \cong E_k(\hat{D})$$

Proof. Consider the exact sequence
$$0 \to M \to A \xrightarrow{\phi} A$$
given by either $\phi(a) = Da - ka$, or $\phi(a) = D^k a$. In both cases ϕ is an A^D-module homomorphism. Since A is Noetherian and finitely generated as a B-module, it follows that M is a finitely generated B-module as well. Hence $\hat{M} = M \otimes_B \hat{B}$ and $\hat{A} = A \otimes_B \hat{B}$.

If $\phi(a) = Da - ka$ then $M = L_k(D)$ and if $\phi(a) = D^k a$ then $M = E_k(D)$. The proposition is proved by taking completion (or localization) on the above exact sequence and considering that completion and localization are exact functors. □

4.2. **Singularities of the quotient.** The purpose of this section is to describe the singularities of the quotient Y of a scheme X of finite type over a field k by a nontrivial α_p or μ_p action induced by a nontrivial vector field D such that either $D^p = 0$ or $D^p = D$.

Definition 4.5. [Sch07]

(1) The fixed locus of the action of α_p or μ_p (or of D) on X is the closed subscheme of X defined by the ideal sheaf generated by $D(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

(2) A point $P \in X$ is called an isolated singularity of D if there is an embeded component Z of the fixed locus of D such that $P \in Z$. The vector field D is said to have only divisorial singularities if the ideal $D(\mathcal{O}_X)$ has no embedded components.

The next proposition gives some first information about the singularities of Y.

Proposition 4.6. *Let X be an integral scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose X has an α_p or μ_p action induced by a vector field D of either additive or multiplicative type. Let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be the quotient. Then*

(1) *If X is normal then Y is normal.*
(2) *If X is S_2 then Y is S_2 as well.* [Sch07]
(3) *If X is smooth then the singularities of Y are exactly the image of the embedded part of the fixed locus of the* [AA86]
(4) *If X is normal and $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein, then Y is also $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein. In particular, let D be a divisor in Y and $\tilde{D}$ be the divisorial part of $\pi^{-1}(D)$. Then if $n\tilde{D}$ is Cartier, pnD is Cartier too.*

Proof. Normality of Y is a local property so we may assume that X and Y are affine. Let $X = \mathrm{Spec}A$ and $Y = \mathrm{Spec}B$, where $B = \{a \in A,\ Da = 0\} \subset A$. Let $\bar{B} \subset K(B)$ be the integral closure of B in its function field $K(B)$. Let $z = b_1/b_2 \in \bar{B}$. Then since $K(B) \subset K(A)$ and A is normal, $z \in A$. But $Dz = D(b_1/b_2) = (b_2Db_1 - b_1Db_2)/b_2^2 = 0$. Therefore $z \in B$ and hence B is integrally closed.

Suppose that X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein. Let D be a divisor on Y. The property that D is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier is local so we may assume that X and Y are affine, say $X = \mathrm{Spec}A$ and $Y = \mathrm{Spec}B$. Then D is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier if and only if $nD = 0$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(B)$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the natural map $\phi\colon \mathrm{Cl}(B) \to \mathrm{Cl}(A)$. Then according to [Fo73],

$$\mathrm{Ker}\phi \subset H^1(G, A^* + K(A)t)$$

where G is the additive subgroup of $Der_k(A)$ generated by D, $A^* + K(A)t \subset K(A)[t]/(t^2)$ is the multiplicative subgroup and G acts on it by the usual automorphisms induced by D. Then since k has characteristic $p > 0$, $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and therefore $H^1(G, A^* + K(A)t)$ is p-torsion. Therefore $\mathrm{Ker}\phi$ is p-torsion as well. Hence if $n\tilde{D}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, then $nD \in \mathrm{Ker}\phi$ and hence $pnD = 0$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(B)$. Therefore pnD is Cartier as claimed. □

Even if X is smooth, it is very hard to give more detailed information about the singularities of Y, even more to classify them. The difficulty arises mainly from the complex structure of α_p actions and quotients. In this case the quotient may not even have rational singularities (such examples can be found in [Li08]). Quotients by μ_p are much easier to describe. In this case the quotient Y has cyclic quotient singularities of type $\frac{1}{p}(1, m)$ [Hi99]. The characteristic 2 case is also simpler (as is probably expected from the characteristic zero case of quotients by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$).

The next proposition provides some more information about the singularities of the quotient.

Proposition 4.7. *Let X be a smooth surface with a nontrivial α_p or μ_p action induced by a global vector field D or either additive or multiplicative type. Let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be the quotient. Then there exists a commutative diagram*

(4.7.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

such that

(1) *$g\colon Y' \to Y$ is the minimal resolution of Y, X' is normal and f is birational.*
(2) *The vector field D on X lifts to a global vector field D' on X' and $\pi'\colon X' \to Y'$ is the quotient of X' by D'.*

Suppose that $p = 2$. Then in addition to the above,

(3) *Y is Gorenstein. Moreover, if Y has canonical singularities, then Y has singularities of type either A_1, D_{2n}, E_7 or E_8.*
(4) *If D is of multiplicative type then X' is smooth and f is obtained by blowing up the isolated singular points of D* [Hi99].
(5) *If D is of additive type, then a diagram like (4.7.1) exists where both X' and Y' are smooth. However, Y' is not necessarily the minimal resolution of Y*[Hi99].

Proof. The only parts of the proposition that need to be proved are the parts 1-3. Let $g\colon Y' \to Y$ be the minimal resolution of Y. Let $\pi'\colon X' \to Y'$ be the normalization of Y' in the field of fractions $K(X)$ of X. Then π' is a purely inseparable map of degree p. I will show that there exists a map $f\colon X' \to X$ such that $\pi f = g\pi'$ giving rise to the diagram 4.7.1. The rational map $X \dashrightarrow Y'$ defined by π and g is resolved after a sequence of blow ups of X. Therefore there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \xleftarrow{\psi} & Z & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ & \searrow^{\pi'} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ & & Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

where ϕ is a sequence of blow ups resolving $X \dashrightarrow Y'$ and $\psi\colon Z \to X'$ is the factorization of δ through X' which exists since Z is normal in $K(X)$. Since π' and π are finite morphisms, it follows that every ψ-exceptional curve is also a ϕ-exceptional curve. Therefore the rational map $f = \phi\psi^{-1}$ is in fact a morphism and hence there exists a commutative diagram as claimed in 4.7.1.

Next I will show that the vector field D of X lifts to a vector field D' of X'. Since X and X' are birational, D gives a rational vector field D' of X'. It is not hard to see that $Y' = X'/D'$. Then in order to show that D' is regular it suffices to show that it has no poles. Let Δ be the divisorial part of D. Then [R-S76]

$$K_X = \pi^* K_Y + (p-1)\Delta.$$

Moreover, since Y' is the minimal resolution of Y,

$$K_{Y'} = g^* K_Y - F,$$

where F is an effective g-exceptional $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Therefore from the commutative diagram 4.7.1 it follows that

$$K_{X'} = f^* K_X + E = f^*(\pi^* K_Y + (p-1)\Delta) + E = (\pi')^* g^* K_Y + (p-1) f^*\Delta + E = (\pi')^* K_{Y'} + (\pi')^* F + (p-1) f^*\Delta + E,$$

where E is an f-exceptional divisor. But the last adjunction formula shows that the divisor of D' is $(\pi')^* F + (p-1) f^*\Delta + E$, which is effective. Hence D' has no poles and therefore it is regular. This concludes the proof of 4.7.1 4.7.2.

Suppose that $p = 2$. Then π factors through the geometric Frobenious $F\colon X \to X^{(2)}$. In fact there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ {\scriptstyle \pi}\nearrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle \nu} \\ X & \xrightarrow{F} & X^{(2)} \end{array}$$

Since $X^{(2)}$ is smooth and Y is normal, then ν is an α_L-torsor over $X^{(2)}$, for some line bundle L on $X^{(2)}$ [Ek87]. Then since X is smooth, Y has hypersurface singularities and therefore it is Gorenstein. Suppose that Y has canonical singularities. Then the dynking diagram of any singular point of Y is of type either A_n, D_n, E_6, E_7 or E_8. By Proposition 4.6.4, the local Picard groups of the singular points of Y are 2-torsion. Therefore these can be only A_1, D_{2n+1}, E_7 or E_8. This shows 4.7.3. □

Remark 4.8.
Proposition 4.7.5 essentially says that if $p = 2$ then the isolated singularities of the vector field D can be resolved by a sequence of blow ups. If $p > 2$ then this is not possible in general. Take for example $X = \mathbb{A}^2_k$, $p = 5$ and $D = x\partial/\partial x + 2y\partial/\partial y$. This is a vector field of multiplicative type. Suppose that a diagram like in Proposition 4.7 exists with both X' and Y' smooth. Then f is obtained by successively blowing up the isolated singularities of D. Let $X_1 \to X$ be the first blow up, i.e., the blow up of the singular point of D. Then a straightforward calculation shows that the lifting D_1 of D on X_1 has exactly two isolated singular points, say P and Q. Moreover, locally at P, $D_1 = x\partial/\partial x + y\partial/\partial y$ and locally at Q, $D_1 = 2(x\partial/\partial x + 2y\partial/\partial y)$. Hence at Q, D_1 has exactly the same form as D. Hence every time a singular point is blown up at which the vector field has the form $\lambda(x\partial/\partial x + 2y\partial/\partial y)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, another singular point will appear in the blow up where the lifted vector field will have the same form. Hence the process of blowing up the isolated singular points of the vector field does not lead to a vector field without isolated singular points and hence a diagram like in Proposition 4.7 does not exist in this case.

However, even though there is no resolution in general of the isolated singularities of D by usual blow ups as in the case $p = 2$, Proposition 4.7.1,2 says that there exists a partial resolution by weighted blow ups instead, hence the singularities on X'.

4.3. **Relation between the divisors of X and Y.** Let X be a scheme with a nontrivial α_p or μ_p-action which by Proposition 3.1 is induced by a nontrivial vector field D of X such that either $D^p = 0$ or $D^p = D$. Let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be the quotient.

The next propositions exhibit certain relations that exist between the divisors of X and its quotient Y.

Proposition 4.9. [R-S76] *Suppose that X is a normal variety. Let C be an irreducible divisor on X and C' its scheme theoretic image in Y. If C is an integral subvariety of D then $\pi_* C = pC'$ and $\pi^* C' = C$. If on the other hand C is not an integral subvariety of D then $\pi_* C = C'$ and $\pi^* C' = pC$.*

Proposition 4.10. *Let $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ be a line bundle on X. Then there exists $M \in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ such that $L^p = \pi^* M$.*

Proof. L corresponds naturally to an element of $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$. Let V_i be an affine cover of Y and $U_i = \pi^{-1}(V_i)$ the corresponding affine cover of X. Then L is determined by elements $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*_{U_{ij}}$, $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$, which satisfy the cocycle condition $a_{ij}a_{ik}^{-1}a_{jk} = 1$. But $a_{ij}^p \in \mathcal{O}_{V_{ij}}$ and satisfy the cocycle condition. Hence a_{ij}^p determine a line bundle M on Y such that $\pi^* M = L^p$. □

In exactly the same way it can be proved that.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $L_i \in \mathrm{Pic}(Y)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $\pi^* L_1 \cong \pi^* L_2$. Then $L_1^p \cong L_2^p$.*

In the case when the action is free then there is a much closer relation between the picard schemes of X and Y.

Theorem 4.12. [Je78] *Suppose that X is a proper variety with a free α_p or μ_p-action. Then there exists an exact sequence of group schemes*

$$0 \to D(N) \to \underline{Pic}_k(Y) \to (\underline{Pic}(X)_k)^N \to 0,$$

where $N = \alpha_p$ or μ_p, $D(N)$ its Cartier dual and $(\underline{Pic}(X)_k)^N$ is the fixed scheme of $\underline{Pic}(X)_k$ under the natural action of N on $\underline{Pic}(X)_k$.

5. α_L-Torsors.

In this section the notion of α_L-torsors is defined. This is a specific class of purely inseparable morphisms of degree p and as it will be shown in the next paragraphs it is very closely related to quotients by α_p or μ_p-actions.

Definition 5.1. Let $G \to Y$ be a group scheme over a scheme Y acting on a Y-scheme X. Then X is called a torsor for G over Y if and only if X is faithfully flat over Y and the natural map $G \times_Y X \to X \times_Y X$ is an isomorphism.

Equivalently, X is called a torsor for G over Y if an only if for any Y scheme S, $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(S, X)$ is a principal homogeneous space over $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(S, G)$.

Let X be a scheme defined over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and L a line bundle over X. Next I will define the infinitesimal group scheme α_L.

Both L and L^p have a natural structure of group schemes over X and the relative Frobenious map induces a group scheme map $F\colon L \to L^p$. Over any affine open subset U of X where L trivializes, F is given by $F\colon \mathcal{O}_U[t] \to \mathcal{O}_U[t]$, where $F(f(t)) = f(t^p)$, for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_U[t]$. Moreover, F is surjective in the flat topology over X.

Definition 5.2. [Ek86] The group scheme α_L is defined to be the kernel of the relative Frobenious map $F\colon L \to L^p$. Hence there is an exact sequence of group schemes in the flat topology

$$0 \to \alpha_L \to L \xrightarrow{F} L^p \to 0.$$

Equivalently α_L may be defined as follows. Let U_i be an affine open cover of X. Then L is determined by elements $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*_{U_{ij}}$ which satisfy the cocycle condition. Then α_L is obtained by glueing the schemes $U_i \times \mathrm{Spec}\,(k[t]/(t^p))$ over U_{ij} via the isomorphisms

$$\phi_{ij}\colon U_{ij} \times \mathrm{Spec}\,(k[t]/(t^p)) \to U_{ji} \times \mathrm{Spec}\,(k[t]/(t^p))$$

given at the sheaf of rings level by $\phi_{ij}\colon \mathcal{O}_{U_{ji}}[t]/(t^p) \to \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}[t]/(t^p)$, where $\phi_{ij}(t) = a_{ij}t$. The group scheme structure of α_L is inherited from the one on L and is given locally by the map

$$\mu_i\colon \mathcal{O}_{U_i}[t]/(t^p) \to \mathcal{O}_{U_i}[t]/(t^p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{U_i}[t]/(t^p),$$

defined by $\mu_i(t) = t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t$.

From the general theory of torsors [Mi80], it follows that α_L-torsors over X are classified by $H^1_{\mathrm{fl}}(X, \alpha_L)$. The next theorem describes explicitly the structure of α_L-torsors.

Theorem 5.3. *Let L be a line bundle over a scheme Y defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be an α_L-torsor over Y. Then,*

(1) *X is completely determined by an F-split extension*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{i} E \to L^{-1} \to 0, \tag{5.3.1}$$

where E is a rank two vector bundle on Y. (An exact sequence is called F-split if its pullback by the absolute Frobenious F is split). Moreover, there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathrm{S(E)} \\ & \searrow^{\pi} & \downarrow \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

where σ is a closed immersion and $N_{X/S(E)} = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_Y \oplus L^p)$.

(2) *Let U_i be an open affine cover of Y. Then $\pi\colon X \to Y$ is obtained by glueing the maps*

$$\pi_i\colon V_i = \mathrm{Spec}\frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_i}[t]}{(t^p - c_i)} \to U_i,$$

by the isomorphisms $\psi_{ij}\colon V_{ij} \to V_{ji}$ defined by sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$-algebras isomorphisms

$$\phi_{ij}\colon \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_{ji}}[t]}{(t^p - c_j)} \to \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}[t]}{(t^p - c_i)}$$

given by $\phi_{ij}(t) = \gamma_{ij} + a_{ij}t$, where the $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*_{U_{ij}}$, $\gamma_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$, $c_i \in \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ and moreover. The elements $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*_{U_{ij}}$ satisfy the cocycle condition $a_{ij}a_{jk} = a_{ik}$ and define the line bundle L^{-1}. The matrices $A_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma_{ij} \\ 0 & a_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$ satisfy the cocycle condition $A_{ij}A_{jk} = A_{ik}$ and define E in 5.3.1 and $c_j = \gamma_{ij}^p + a_{ij}^p c_i$.

(3) *Suppose that Y is a projective, Cohen-Macauley scheme and Gorenstein in codimension one. Then X is also Cohen-Macauley, Gorenstein in codimension one and moreover*

$$\omega_X = \pi^*(\omega_Y \otimes L^{p-1})^{[1]}.$$

(4) *If the exact sequence 5.3.1 splits then X admits a nontrivial μ_p-action and $\pi\colon X \to Y$ is the quotient of X by the μ_p-action. If $H^0(L) \neq 0$, then X admits a nontrivial α_p-action and $\pi\colon X \to Y$ is the quotient of X by the α_p-action.*

Proof. The proof of the first part of 5.3.1 and 5.3.3 can be found in [Ek86]. For the convenience of the reader and in order to show the remaing parts of the theorem, I will describe the correspondence between α_L-torsors and F-split exact sequences as in 5.3.1.

By [Mi80], α_L-torsors are classified by $H^1_{\mathrm{fl}}(X, \alpha_L)$. Taking flat cohomology in the exact sequence

$$0 \to \alpha_L \to L \xrightarrow{F} L^p \to 0.$$

we get the exact sequence in flat cohomology

$$\cdots \to H^0(Y, L) \to H^1_{fl}(Y, \alpha_L) \to H^1(Y, L) \xrightarrow{F^*} H^1(Y, L) \tag{5.3.2}$$

where F^* is the induced map of the Frobenious on cohomology. Now taking into consideration that $H^1(Y,L)=\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(L^{-1},\mathcal{O}_Y)$ and that the last group classifies extensions of L^{-1} by $\mathcal{O}_Y$, it follows immediately that to give an element of $H^1(Y,\alpha_L)$ is equivalent to give an F-split extension

$$0\to\mathcal{O}_Y\stackrel{i}{\to}E\to L^{-1}\to 0,$$

of L^{-1} by $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Now if one writes down explicitly the correspondence between α_L-torsors and elements of $H^1_{\mathrm{fl}}(X,\alpha_L)$ as well as the maps that appear in the exact sequence 5.3.2 it follows that $X=\mathrm{Spec}_Y R(E,\sigma)$, where $R(E,\sigma)=S(E)/I$, $I=J+\mathrm{Ker}\Phi$, J is the ideal of $S(E)$ generated by $a-i(a)$, for all $a\in\mathcal{O}_Y$, and $\Phi\colon S(E)\to\mathcal{O}_Y$ is the map induced on $S(E)$ by σ, where $\sigma\colon E\to\mathcal{O}_Y$ is the F-splitting. In particular, locally Φ is defined by setting $\Phi(a)=a^p$, for all $a\in\mathcal{O}_Y=S^0(E)$, and on S^mE by $\Phi(x_1x_1\cdots x_m)=\sigma(x_1)\sigma(x_2)\cdots\sigma(x_m)$. This construction exhibits X as a closed subscheme of $S(E)$, viewed as a vector bundle over Y.

The second part of the theorem is obtained by writing down explicitly the above construction in local coordinates.

Next I will show the second part of 5.3.1, i.e., that $N_{X/S(E)}=\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_Y\oplus L^p)$. Let U_i be an affine cover of Y. Then E is determined by matrices $A_{ij}=\begin{pmatrix}1&\gamma_{ij}\\0&a_{ij}\end{pmatrix}$ satisfying the usual cocycle condition $A_{ij}A_{jk}=A_{ik}$, where $a_{ij}\in\mathcal{O}^*_{U_{ij}}$ determine L^{-1} and $\gamma_{ij}\in\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$. Then $S(E)$, viewed as a vector bundle over Y, is obtained by glueing $U_i\times\mathrm{A}^2_k$ along the isomorphisms $\Psi_{ij}\colon U_{ij}\times\mathrm{A}^2_k\to U_{ji}\times\mathrm{A}^2_k$ defined by the maps

$$\psi_{ij}\colon\mathcal{O}_{U_{ji}}[s,t]\to\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}[s,t]$$

given by $\psi_{ij}(s)=s$, $\psi_{ij}(t)=\gamma_{ij}s+a_{ij}t$. From the local construction explained in the second part of the theorem it follows that $\pi\colon X\to Y$ is obtained by glueing over U_i the closed subschemes

$$V_i=\mathrm{Spec}\frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_i}[s,t]}{(s-1,t^p-c_i)}$$

of $U_i\times\mathbb{A}^2_k$ along the isomorphisms $\Phi_{ij}\colon V_{ij}\to V_{ji}$ induced from Ψ_{ij}. Let I_i be the ideal sheaf of V_i in $U_i\times\mathbb{A}^2_k$. Then $I_i=(s-1,t^p-c_i)$. Then there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_{ji}/I^2_{ji} & \xrightarrow{G_{ji}} & \mathcal{O}_{V_{ji}}\oplus\mathcal{O}_{V_{ji}}\\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\phi_{ij}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\lambda_{ji}}\\ I_{ij}/I^2_{ij} & \xrightarrow{G_{ij}} & \mathcal{O}_{V_{ij}}\oplus\mathcal{O}_{V_{ij}}\end{array}$$

such that the maps ϕ_{ij} are induced from ψ_{ij} and all the other maps are isomorphisms defined by $G_{ij}(s-1)=(1,0)$, $G_{ij}(t^p-c_j)=(0,1)$ and $\lambda_{ij}(1,0)=(1,0)$, $\lambda_{ij}(0,1)=(0,a^p_{ij})$. The maps λ_{ij} correspond to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&a^p_{ij}\end{pmatrix}$. Therefore the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{V_i}\oplus\mathcal{O}_{V_i}$ glue by λ_{ij} to $\pi^*\left(\mathcal{O}_Y\oplus L^{-p}\right)$ and therefore

$$N_{X/S(E)}=\pi^*\left(\mathcal{O}_Y\oplus L^p\right),$$

as claimed.

Next I will show the adjunction formula in the third part of the theorem. Since Y is Gorenstein in codimension one then by the explicit description of X given in the second part of the theorem it follows that X is Gorenstein in codimension one as well. Hence in order to prove the adjunction formula it suffices to assume that X and Y are both Gorenstein.

Claim:

$$\omega_X = \pi^*\omega_Y \otimes \pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y.$$

Indeed. Since π is finite then $\omega_X = \pi^!\omega_Y$ [Ha77, Chapter III, ex. 7.2]. Then by using duality for finite flat morphisms it follows that

$$\mathcal{H}om_X(\pi^*\omega_Y, \omega_X) = \mathcal{H}om_X(\pi^*\omega_Y, \pi^!\omega_Y) = \mathcal{H}om_Y(\pi_*\pi^*\omega_Y, \omega_Y) =$$
$$\mathcal{H}om_Y(\omega_Y \otimes \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_Y) = \mathcal{H}om_Y(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y$$

and therefore $\omega_X = \pi^*\omega_Y \otimes \pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y$, as claimed. Hence in order to prove the adjunction formula in 5.3.3 it suffices to show that $\pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y = \pi^*(L^{p-1})$. From the claim and since X and Y are Gorenstein, $\pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y$ is an invertible sheaf on X. In order to show that it is in fact equal to $\pi^*(L^{p-1})$ I will describe the local glueing data for $\pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y$. Let U_i be an affine open cover of Y. Then $V_i = \pi^{-1}U_i$ is an affine open cover of X. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{O}_{V_i} = \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_i}[t]}{(t^p - c_i)}$$

where $c_i \in \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ and the glueing data are as in 5.3.2. Then

$$\pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y|_{V_i} = \mathrm{Hom}_{U_i}(\mathcal{O}_{V_i}, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{U_i}(\frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_i}[t]}{(t^p - c_i)}, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}).$$

Then I claim that $\phi_i = d^{p-1}/dt^{p-1}$ is a generator of $\pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y|_{V_i}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$-module. Indeed. Let g_i be a generator. Then $d^{p-1}/dt^{p-1} = ag_i$, for some $a \in \mathcal{O}_{V_i}$. Then

$$(p-1)! = \frac{d^{p-1}}{dt^{p-1}}(t^{p-1}) = ag_i(t^{p-1}).$$

But $(p-1)! = -1 \mathrm{mod} p$ and hence $a \in \mathcal{O}^*_{V_i}$. Hence $\phi_i = d^{p-1}/dt^{p-1}$ is a generator of $\pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y|_{V_i}$, as claimed. Now the V_i glue in order to form X by the isomorphisms $\psi_{ij} \colon V_{ij} \to V_{ji}$ defined by sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$-algebras isomorphisms

$$\phi_{ij} \colon \mathcal{O}_{V_{ji}} = \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_{ji}}[t]}{(t^p - c_j)} \to \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}[t]}{(t^p - c_i)} = \mathcal{O}_{V_{ij}}$$

given by $\phi_{ij}(t) = \gamma_{ij} + a_{ij}t$, where a_{ij} and γ_{ij} are as in 5.3.2. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{U_i}(\mathcal{O}_{V_i}, \mathcal{O}_{U_i})$ glue to form $\pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y$ by the isomorphisms

$$\delta_{ij} \colon \mathrm{Hom}_{U_{ij}}(\mathcal{O}_{V_{ij}}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{U_{ji}}(\mathcal{O}_{V_{ji}}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{ji}})$$

given by $\delta_{ij}(\sigma) = \sigma \circ \phi_{ij}$, for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}_{U_{ij}}(\mathcal{O}_{V_{ij}}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}})$. In particular,

$$\delta_{ij}(\frac{d^{p-1}}{dt^{p-1}}) = \frac{d^{p-1}}{dt^{p-1}} \circ \phi_{ij}$$

But for any $f(t) \in \mathcal{O}_{U_{ji}}[t]$,

$$\frac{d^{p-1}}{dt^{p-1}} \circ \phi_{ij}(f(t)) = \frac{d^{p-1}}{dt^{p-1}}(f(\gamma_{ij} + a_{ij}t)) = a_{ij}^{p-1}\frac{d^{p-1}f}{dt^{p-1}}(\gamma_{ij} + a_{ij}t) =$$
$$a_{ij}^{p-1}\frac{d^{p-1}f}{dt^{p-1}} = a_{ji}^{1-p}\frac{d^{p-1}f}{dt^{p-1}}.$$

Hence the glueing data for $\pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y$ are given by a_{ij}^{1-p} and therefore $\pi^!\mathcal{O}_Y = \pi^*(L^{p-1})$, as claimed.

Next I will show the last part of the theorem.

Suppose that the exact sequence 5.3.1 is split. Then $E = \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus L^{-1}$. Then in the notation of 5.3.2, $\gamma_{ij} = 0$. Let D_i be the $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$-derivation of $\mathcal{O}_{V_i}$ given by $D_i = t\frac{d}{dt}$. Then it is easy to see that $D_i^p = D_i$ and that there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_{ji}}[t]}{(t^p - c_j)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{ij}} & \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}[t]}{(t^p - c_i)} \\ {\scriptstyle D_j}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle D_i} \\ \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_{ji}}[t]}{(t^p - c_j)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{ij}} & \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}[t]}{(t^p - c_i)} \end{array}$$

Therefore the derivations D_i glue to a global derivation D on X such that $D^p = D$. Then by Proposition 3.1 D induces a μ_p-action on X and Y is the quotient of X by this action.

Suppose that $H^0(Y, L) \neq 0$. Then there exists a nonzero map $\sigma\colon L^{-1} \to \mathcal{O}_Y$. Suppose U_i is an open affine cover of Y and that $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*_{U_{ij}}$ are the glueing data of L^{-1}. Then σ is obtained by glueing maps $\sigma_i\colon \mathcal{O}_{U_i} \to \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ that are compatible with the glueing data of L^{-1}, i.e., there are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} & \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}} \\ {\scriptstyle \lambda_{ij}}\downarrow & \nearrow_{\sigma_j} & \\ \mathcal{O}_{U_{ji}} & & \end{array}$$

where $\lambda_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}x$, for any $x \in \mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$. Suppose that $d_i = \sigma_i(1)$. Then $d_i = a_{ji}d_j$. Let now $D_i = d_i\frac{d}{dt}$. These are $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$-derivations of $\mathcal{O}_{V_i}$ such that $D_i^p = 0$. An argument identical to the one used in the previous case shows that the D_i glue to a global derivation D on X such that $D^p = 0$. By Proposition 3.1, D induces an action of α_p on X and Y is the quotient of X by this action.

Remark 5.4. The statement of Theorem 5.3.1 was initially proved in [Ek86] as well as 5.3.3 in the case when Y is normal. The rest are to the best of my knowledge new.

□

6. Quotients by μ_p-actions.

Let X be a scheme defined over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that X admits a nontrivial μ_p-action. By Proposition 3.1 this action is induced by a global vector field D of X such that $D^p = D$. let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be the quotient, which exists and is finite of dgree p [Mu70]. The purpose of this section is to describe the structure of the quotient map π.

Lemma 6.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let A be a k-algebra and M an A-module. Let $\Phi\colon M \to M$ be an A-module homomorphism such that $\Phi^p = \Phi$. Then $M = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} M_k$, where $M_k = \{m \in M | \Phi(m) = km\}$.*

Proof. Let $f(x) = x^p - x \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. Then $f(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{p-1}(x-k)$. From the assumption it follows that $f(\Phi) = 0$. Let $f_k(x) = -\prod_{i\neq k}(x-i) = -(x^p - x)/(x-k)$. I will show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f_k(x) = 1. \tag{6.1.1}$$

In order to show this I will first show that $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f_k'(x) = 0$. Indeed,

$$f_k'(x) = \frac{x^p - k}{(x-k)^2} = \frac{(x-k)^p}{(x-k)^2} = (x-k)^{p-2}.$$

Then

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f_k'(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1}(x-k)^{p-2}$$

is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ of degree at most $p-2$. However, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$g(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1}(a-k)^{p-2} = \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} s^{p-2} = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s^{-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} s = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} = 0.$$

Hence $g(x)$ has p-roots in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and since its degree is at most $p-2$ it follows that $g(x) = 0$. Hence

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f_k(x)\right)' = 0$$

and therefore $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f_k(x) = h(x^p)$, for some $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. However, since the degree of $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f_k(x)$ is at most $p-1$, it follows that $h(x) = c, c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then

$$c = h(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f_k(0) = f_0(0) = -(p-1)! = 1,$$

from Wilson's theorem. Therefore 6.1.1 holds. Let $m \in M$. Then from 6.1.1 it follows that

$$m = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} f_k(\Phi)(m).$$

Now from the definition of $f_k(x)$, $(x-k)f_k(x) = x^p - x$ and therefore $\Phi(f_k(\Phi)(m)) = kf_k(\Phi)(m)$. Hence $f_k(\Phi)(m) \in M_k$ and therefore

$$M = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} M_k.$$

Next I will show that the sum is direct. Suppose that there are $m_k \in M_k$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ such that

$$m_0 + m_1 + \cdots + m_{p-1} = 0.$$

Applying Φ $(p-1)$-times we get that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k^s m_k = 0,$$

for $s = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$. This equation can be written in matrix form as

$$A \cdot B = \mathbf{0},$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & p-1 \\ 1 & 2^2 & \cdots & (p-1)^2 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 2^{p-1} & \cdots & (p-1)^{p-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ \vdots \\ m_{p-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

But A is simply a Vandermonde matrix and hence

$$\det(A) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p-1} (i-j) \neq 0.$$

Therefore $B = 0$ and hence $m_k = 0$, for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$. Therefore

$$M = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} M_k,$$

as claimed. □

The next result shows the relation between $L_k(D)$ and $L_{kd}(D)$ (as defined in 4.1) in the case when $D^p = D$. This is very important in the study of the quotient of a scheme with a μ_p-action.

Proposition 6.2. *Let A be an intergral domain which is also a k-algebra where k is a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $D \in \mathrm{Der}_k(A)$ a non-trivial k-derivation of A such that $D^p = D$. Then*

(1) *There is a direct sum decomposition of $B = A^D$-modules*

$$A = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} L_k(D). \tag{6.2.1}$$

Moreover, $L_k(D)$ are rank 1 torsion free B-modules.

(2) *For any $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$, let*

$$\sigma_d \colon L_k^{\otimes d}(D) \to L_{\overline{kd}}(D)$$

where $\overline{kd} = kd \mod p$, be the map defined by $\sigma_d(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_d) = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_d$. Then the support of the kernel and cokernel of σ_d is contained in the fixed locus of D. In particular, if the fixed locus of D is empty then σ_d is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\Phi \colon A \to A$ be the map defined by $\Phi(a) = Da$, for any $a \in A$. Then $\Phi^p = \Phi$. Hence from Proposition 6.1 it follows that

$$A = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} L_k(D). \tag{6.2.2}$$

Since $L_k(D) \subset A$, $L_k(D)$ is a torsion free B-module.

I will next show that $L_k(D) \neq 0$, for any $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$. For any $a \in A$, let $z_a = Da + D^2 a + \cdots + D^{p-1} a$. Then $Dz_a = z_a$. I claim that there is $a \in A$ such that $z_a \neq 0$. Suppose that this was not true. Then $D, D^2, \ldots, D^{p-1}$ would be linearly dependent over the base field k. But this is impossible [Ma86, Theorem 25.4]. Let then $a \in A$ such that $z_a \neq 0$. Then for any $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$, $D(z_a^k) = k z_a^{k-1} D z_a = k z_a^k$. Hence $z_a^k \in L_k(D)$. Therefore $L_k(D) \neq 0$, for any $k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$. Now localizing 6.2.2 at the generic point of A and taking into consideration that $[K(A) : K(B)] = p$ and that by Proposition 4.4 the formation of the modules $L_k(D)$ commutes with localization, we get that $L_k(D)$ has rank 1 for all k.

The second part of the proposition will follow if we show that the map σ_d is an isomorphism at any point $P \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ that is not fixed by D. This is a local result and hence by Proposition 4.4 we may assume that A and $B = A^D$ are local rings

with maximal ideals m_A and m_B and that A is a free B-module of rank p [Mu70, Theorem 1, page 104]. For simplicity I will only do the case when $k = 1$. The rest are similar.

So suppose that $k = 1$. I will first show that $D(L_1(D)) \not\subset m_A$. Suppose on the contrary that $D(L_1(D)) \subset m_A$. Then I will show that $D(L_k(D)) \subset m_A$ for any k. Let $a \in L_k$. Then there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\nu k = 1 \mod p$. Then $D(a^\nu) = \nu k a^\nu = a^\nu$ and hence $a^\nu \in L_1$. But then $a^\nu = D(a^\nu) \in m_A$, and therefore $a \in m_A$. Hence $D(L_k(D)) \subset m_A$, for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$. Now from 6.2.2 it follows that $D(A) \subset m_A$ and hence m_A is fixed under D, which is a contradiction.

Hence $D(L_1(D)) \not\subset m_A$. Therefore there exists $a \in L_1(D) = D(L_1(D))$ such that $a = Da \notin m_A$. Then $a^k \in L_k(D)$. $L_k(D)$ is a free B-module of rank 1. Let $x_k \in L_k(D)$ be a generator as a B-module. Then there exists $\lambda \in B$ such that $a^k = \lambda x_k$. If $\lambda \in m_B$, then $a^k \in m_A$ and hence $a \in m_A$, a contradiction. Hence $\lambda \notin m_B$ and therefore $\lambda \in B^*$. Hence a^k is also a generator of $L_k(D)$ as a B-module. This shows that the map

$$\sigma_k \colon L_1(D)^{\otimes k} \to L_k(D)$$

is surjective and hence an isomorphism.

□

Corollary 6.3. *Let X be an integral scheme defined over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that X admits a nontrivial μ_p-action induced by a nontrivial global vector field D such that $D^p = D$. Let $\pi \colon X \to Y$ be the quotient. Then*

(1) *There is a direct sum decomposition of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules*

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \oplus_{k=1}^{p-1} L_k(D),$$

where $L_k(D) = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_X / \ Da = ka\}$ is a rank one torsion free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules.

(2) *For any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let*

$$\sigma_d \colon L_k(D)^d \to L_{\overline{kd}}(D),$$

where $\overline{kd} = kd \mod p$, be the map defined locally by

$$\sigma_d(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_d) = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_d.$$

Then the support of the kernel and cokernel of σ_d is in the fixed locus of the μ_p-action. In particular, if μ_p acts freely on X then σ_d is an isomorphism. In particular, $L_1(D)^{\otimes p} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$.

(3) *If X is S_2, then $L_k(D)$ is S_2 as well. Moreover, if X is normal and Noetherian, then $L_k(D)$ is a reflexive $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module, for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, the μ_p-action on X is induced by a nontrivial global vector field D on X such that $D^p = D$. Then D induces an $\mathcal{O}_Y$-sheaf of modules map $\Phi \colon \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$, by $\Phi(a) = Da$, such that $\Phi^p = \Phi$. Now the parts 1 and 2 of the corollary follow immediately from Proposition 6.2, equation 6.2.1.

It remains to prove the third part. Suppose that X is S_2. Then for any $Z \subset Y$ such that $\operatorname{codim}(Y - Z, Y) \geq 2$, $\underline{\mathrm{H}}^i_Z(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, for $i = 0, 1$. Hence from the first part of the corollary it follows that

$$\oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} \underline{\mathrm{H}}^i_Y(L_k(D)) = 0,$$

for $i = 0, 1$. Hence $\underline{\mathrm{H}}^i_Y(L_k(D)) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$, and therefore $L_k(D)$ is an S_2 sheaf. If in addition X is normal, then $L_k(D)$ is reflexive since the notions of reflexive and S_2 sheaves coincide for normal integral schemes [Ha94, Theorem 1.9]. □

Remark 6.4. By Proposition 4.4, the formation of the sheaves $L_k(D)$ is stable by passing to completion and localization. Therefore it is possible to calculate their local properties by passing to either the completion of X at a point or the localization.

Theorem 6.5. *Let X be a normal integral scheme of finite type over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that X admits a nontrivial μ_p-action. Let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be the quotient. Then there exists a factorization*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle\delta} & \\ Y & & \end{array}$$

such that,

$$\delta\colon Z = \mathrm{Spec}_Y\left(\oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} L^{[k]}\right) \to Y,$$

is the p-cyclic cover over Y defined by a rank one reflexive sheaf L of Y and a section $s \in H^0(Y, L^{[-p]})$, and $\phi\colon X \to Z$ is the normalization of Z. Moreover,

1. *Suppose that X is smooth and let Z be a connected component of the fixed locus of the μ_p-action. Then L and the section $s \in H^0(Y, L^{[-p]})$ can be chosen in such a way so that there exists an open subset U of X containing Z such that the restriction of ϕ on U is an isomorphism.*
2. *If X is projective over k, then there exists a rank one reflexive sheaf on Y such that*
$$\omega_Z = \left(\pi^*(\omega_Y \otimes M^{[1-p]})\right)^{[1]}.$$
3. *If either $p = 2$ or μ_p acts freely on X, then ϕ is an isomorphism for a a suitable choice of L and $s \in H^0(L^{[-p]})$. If the μ_p-action is free then $L^p \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$.*

Proof. Since X is normal, it satisfies condition S_2. Hence, $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is an $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module that satisfies condition S_2. Since X is normal, Y is also normal and therefore $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is a reflexive $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module [Ha94]. Moreover, by Proposition 3.1 the μ_p-action is induced by a global vector field D on X such that $D^p = D$. By Corollary 6.3,

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} L_k(D).$$

Let $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $L = L_k(D)$. Then there exist natural $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module maps

$$\sigma_d\colon L^{\otimes d} \to L_{\overline{kd}}(D) \subset \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

where $\overline{kd} = kd \mod p$, given locally by $\sigma_d(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_d) = a_1 \cdots a_d \in L_{\overline{kd}}(D)$. Note that by Proposition 6.2, the support of the kernel and cokernel of σ_d is contained in the fixed locus of the μ_p-action.

Let $U \subset Y$ be the set of points of Y where $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is free. Since $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is reflexive, U contains the smooth locus of Y and since k is perfect and X of finite type over k, $\mathrm{codim}(Y - U, Y) \geq 2$. Hence the restriction $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X|_U$ is locally free and of rank p, since π has degree p. Hence $L_k(D)|_U$ is invertible for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$. Hence

the maps σ_d are injective over a codimension 2 open subset of Y and hence, after taking double duals, the maps

$$\sigma_d \colon L^{[d]} \to \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

are also injective giving an injection of sheaves of abelian groups

$$\oplus_{d=0}^{p-1} L^{[d]} \subset \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Now observe that the map $\sigma_p \colon L^{\otimes p} \to \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ given by $\sigma_p(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_p) = a_1 \cdots a_p$ is a map of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ modules and moreover the image of σ_p is in $\mathcal{O}_Y$ and hence gives a map $L^p \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ which induces a map $L^{[p]} \to \mathcal{O}_Y$. This map corresponds to a section of $L^{[-p]}$ and gives a sheaf of rings structure on $\oplus_{d=0}^{p-1} L^{[d]}$ compatible with the sheaf of rings structures of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ and $\mathcal{O}_X$. Hence there exists inclusions of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-algebras

$$\mathcal{O}_Y \subset \oplus_{d=0}^{p-1} L^{[d]} \subset \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Let $Z = \operatorname{Spec}\left(\oplus_{d=0}^{p-1} L^{[d]}\right)$. Then there exists a factorization

(6.5.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Z \\ {\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} & \\ Y & & \end{array}$$

as claimed in the first part of the theorem. Over U, δ is an α_L-torsor and in particular it is finite of degree p. But since π is also of degree p it follows that ϕ is of degree zero and hence it is birational. Since X is normal it follows that X is the normalization of Z. Finally I would like to mention that $L = L_1(D)$ would be the standard choice of L.

Suppose that X is smooth and let $Z \subset X$ be a connected component of the fixed locus of D. I will show that Z is smooth and irreducible. Let $P \in Z$ be any closed point. Then by [R-S76], in suitable local coordinates at $P \in X$, $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, $n = \dim X$,

$$D = a_1 x_1 \partial/\partial x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n \partial/\partial x_n, \tag{6.5.2}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the fixed locus of D is defined by the ideal $(a_1 x_1, \ldots, a_n x_n)$ and therefore it is smooth and irreducible.

Let $U = X - \cup_{W \neq Z} W$, where W runs over all connected components of the fixed locus of D, or equivalently of the μ_p-action.

Suppose that $\operatorname{codim}(Z, X) \geq 2$. Then U is an open neighborhood of Z in X such that the μ_p-action on $U - Z$ is free and therefore $U - Z \to Y - \pi(Z)$ is a μ_p-torsor. Let $L = L_1(D)$. Then the restriction of ϕ on U is an isomorphism in codimension 2 and hence since it is finite it is an isomorphism.

Suppose that $\operatorname{codim}(Z, X) = 1$. By Proposition 4.6, the singular points of Y are under the isolated fixed points of the μ_p-action and therefore $V = \pi(U)$ is a smooth open neighborhood of $\pi(Z)$ in Y. Hence we may assume that Y is also smooth. From 6.5.2 it follows that $D = a x_1 \partial/\partial x_1$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Let $\tilde{D} = x_1 \partial/\partial x_1$. Then locally the quotient of X by the μ_p-action induced by $\tilde{D}$ is the same as the one induced by D. In fact, locally at $P \in X$, $\mathcal{O}_X = k[[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]]$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y = k[[x_1^p, x_2, \ldots, x_n]]$.

Claim: For any $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$, the natural map

$$\sigma_k \colon L_1(\tilde{D})^{\otimes k} \to L_k(\tilde{D}) \tag{6.5.3}$$

is surjective and therefore it is an isomorphism since both $L_1(\tilde{D})$ and $L_k(\tilde{D})$ are line bundles on U.

I proceed to prove the claim. Let $f \in L_k(\tilde{D})$. Then by the definition of $L_k(\tilde{D})$,

$$x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = kf.$$

Now f can be written as

$$f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_d g_d(x_2, \ldots, x_n) x_1^d.$$

Then $f \in L_k(\tilde{D})$ if and only if $(d-k) f_d(x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$, for any d, and hence $d = k + \nu p$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_\nu g_{\nu p + k}(x_2, \ldots, x_n) x_1^{\nu p + k}.$$

Therefore, $L_k(\tilde{D}) = \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot x_1^k$. Hence it now immediately follows that σ_k in 6.5.3 is surjective. This concludes the proof of the claim.

Now observe that

$$L_d(\tilde{D}) = L_{\overline{ad}}(D),$$

where $\overline{ad} = ad \mod p$. Hence 6.5.3 is equivalent to say that the natural map

$$\sigma_k \colon L_a(D)^{\otimes k} \to L_{\overline{ak}}(D)$$

is surjective at $P \in Z$ and hence an isomorphism for any $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$. Now the cokernel of σ_k is supported on the divisorial part of the fixed locus of D. However the connected components of the divisorial part of the fixed locus of D are smooth and irreducible and σ_k is an isomorphism at $P \in Z$. Therefore Z is not contained in the support of the cokernel of σ_k and hence the restriction of σ_k in U is an isomorphism. Moreover, if k runs over all members of $\{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$, $\overline{ak}$ also runs over all members of $\{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$. Hence since σ_k is an isomorphism in U, it follows that

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}|_V = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} L_k(D)|_V = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} L_{\overline{ak}}(D)|_V = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} L_a(D)^k|_V,$$

where $V = \pi(U)$. Take now $L = L_a(D)$ in the construction of diagram 6.5.1. Then ϕ is an isomorphism in $U \supset Z$. Then by Theorem 5.3,

$$\omega_U = \pi^*(\omega_V \otimes L_a^{1-p}).$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_X(Z) = (\pi^* L_a^{-1})^{[1]}$.

Suppose that X is projective over k. Then Y is projective as well and therefore it has a dualizing sheaf ω_Y. Let Z be a connected component of the divisorial locus of D. Let $U_Z = X - \cup_{W \neq Z}$, where W is a connected component of the fixed locus of D different than Z, and let $V_Z = \pi(U_Z)$. Then from the previous discusion, there exists a $k_z \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$, such that

$$\omega_{U_Z} = \pi^*(\omega_{V_Z} \otimes L_{k_z}(D)^{1-p}).$$

Let $M = (\otimes_Z L_{k_z}(D))^{[1]}$, where Z runs over all connected components of the divisorial part of the fixed locus of D. Then

$$\omega_Z = \left(\pi^*(\omega_Y \otimes M^{[1-p]})\right)^{[1]}.$$

Finally suppose that $p = 2$ or that μ_p acts freely on X. If $p = 2$, then from Proposition 6.3,

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus L,$$

where $L = L_1(D)$ and hence $X = Z$.

If μ_p acts freely on X then Y is smooth and $L_k(D)$ is invertible for all k. Moreover, by Corollary 6.3, $L_k(D) \cong L_1(D)^{\otimes k}$, for any $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$. Hence

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} L_k(D) = \oplus_{k=0}^{p-1} L_1(D)^{\otimes k}$$

and hence $X = Z$ for $L = L_1(D)$. □

Remark 6.6. The third part of Theorem 6.5 is not new. The statement about the free μ_p action is in [Mi80, Page 125], and the statement about the characteristic 2 case in [Ek86]. However the exposition here is completely self contained.

7. Quotients by α_p-actions.

Let X be a scheme defined over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that X admits a nontrivial α_p-action. By Proposition 3.1 this action is induced by a global vector field D of X such that $D^p = 0$. Let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be the quotient, which exists and is finite of degree p [Mu70]. The purpose of this section is to describe the structure of the quotient map π.

Proposition 7.1. *Let X be a normal scheme defined defined over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that X admits a nontrivial α_p-action induced by a nontrivial vector field D of X such that $D^p = 0$. Then there exists a filtration of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules*

$$\mathcal{O}_Y = E_0 \subsetneqq E_1 \subsetneqq E_2 \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq E_k \subsetneqq E_{k+1} \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq E_{p-2} \subsetneqq E_{p-1} = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X,$$

where

$$E_k = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_X |\ D^{k+1}a = 0\}$$

is a reflexive sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules of rank $k+1$ and the quotients $L_k = E_k/E_{k-1}$ are torsion free sheaves of rank 1. Moreover, E_k and L_k are locally free outside the fixed locus of the α_p action, for all k. In addition,

1. *The natural maps $\tau_k\colon E_1^{[k]} \to E_k$ defined by $\tau_k(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k) = a_1 \cdots a_k$ induce $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module maps*

   $$\sigma_k\colon L_1^{[k]} \to L_k^{[1]}$$

   which are isomorphisms outside the fixed locus of the α_p-action.
2. *$H^0(Y, L_1^{[-1]}) \neq 0$.*
3. *The map $\lambda\colon E_1 \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ given by $\lambda(a) = a^p$ is an F-spliting of the exact sequence*

   $$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \to E_1 \to L_1 \to 0.$$

Proof. Let $E_k = \{a \in \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X |\ D^{k+1}a = 0\}$. Then E_k is clearly a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules and $E_k \subset E_{k+1}$. I will first show that $E_k \subsetneqq E_{k+1}$, for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-2$. Suppose on the contrary that there is $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-2\}$ such that $E_k = E_{k+1}$. Let $a \in \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$. Then $D^{p-k-2}a \in E_{k+1}$. But since $E_{k+1} = E_k$, it follows that $D^{p-k-2}a \in E_k$ and therefore $D^{k+1}(D^{p-k-2}a) = 0$, and hence $D^{p-1}a = 0$, for all

$a \in \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$. Hence D^{p-1} is a derivation, which is impossible [Ma86, Theorem 25.4]. Therefore $E_k \subsetneqq E_{k+1}$, for all k and hence there exists a filtration

$$\mathcal{O}_Y = E_0 \subsetneqq E_1 \subsetneqq E_2 \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq E_k \subsetneqq E_{k+1} \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq E_{p-2} \subsetneqq E_{p-1} = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X, \tag{7.1.1}$$

as claimed.

By generic flatness there exists a nonempty open subset $V \subset Y$ such that $\pi\colon \pi^{-1}(V) \to V$ is flat and finite of degree p. Then the restriction $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X|_V$ is locally free of rank p. Then from 7.1.1 it follows that E_k has rank $k+1$. Moreover, from the exact sequence

$$0 \to E_{k-1} \to E_k \to L_k \to 0$$

it follows that L_k has rank 1.

Next I will show that E_k is a reflexive sheaf on Y. Since X is normal, Y is also normal and hence by [Ha94] it suffices to show that E_k is S_2. This is a local result and therefore we can assume that X and Y are both affine. Let $W \subset Y$ be an open set such that $\operatorname{codim}(Y - W, Y) \geq 2$. Let $a \in E_k(W)$. Then $a \in \mathcal{O}_X(\pi^{-1}(W))$ and $D^{k+1}a = 0$. Since X is normal and $\operatorname{codim}(X - \pi^{-1}(W), X) \geq 2$, the restriction map $i_W\colon H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathcal{O}_X(\pi^{-1}(W))$ is an isomorphism. Hence there exists $b \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $i_W(b) = a$. Then since $i_W(D^{k+1}b) = D^{k+1}a = 0$, it follows that $D^{k+1}b = 0$ and hence $b \in E_k(Y)$. This implies that the natural map $H^0(Y, E_k) \to H^0(W, E_k)$ is an isomorphism and hence $H^1_Z(Y, E_k) = 0$, where $Z = Y - W$. Therefore E_k is S_2 as claimed.

Let $\phi_k\colon E_k \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ be the map defined locally by $\phi_k(a) = D^{k-1}a$. Then $\operatorname{Ker}(\phi_k) = E_{k-1}$ and therefore there is an injection of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules

$$L_k = E_k/E_{k-1} \to \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

Hence L_k is torsion free for all k. Moreover this shows that

$$H^0(Y, L_1^{[-1]}) = \operatorname{Hom}_Y(L_1, \mathcal{O}_Y) \neq 0,$$

as claimed in the second part of the theorem.

Let $\tau_k\colon E_1^{\otimes k} \to \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ be the map defined locally by $\tau_k(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k) = a_1 \cdots a_k$. Then an easy calculation shows that $D^k(a_1 \cdots a_k) = 0$ and hence τ_k induces a map $E_1^{\otimes k} \to E_k$ which induces a map $L_1^{\otimes k} \to L_k$. Taking double duals we get a map

$$\tau_k\colon L_1^{[k]} \to L_k^{[1]}.$$

Next I will show that the support of the kernel and cokernel of τ_k are in the fixed locus of the α_p-action. Equivalently that τ_k is an isomorphism at any point not fixed by the α_p-action. This a local result at points not fixed by D. Hence, by considering Proposition 4.4, we may assume that $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$, where (A, m_A) is a local ring. Then $Y = \operatorname{Spec} B$, where $B = A^D$. Moreover, since the α_P-action induced by D is free, $D(A) \not\subset m_A$.

Claim: There exists $z \in A$ such that $Dz = 1$.

Since $D(A) \not\subset m_A$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $Da \notin m_A$ and hence $Da \in A^*$ is a unit. I will show that there exist $b_i \in B$, $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$, such that

$$z = b_1 a + b_2 a^2 + \cdots + b_{p-1} a^{p-1} \tag{7.1.2}$$

has the property that $Dz = 1$. By applying D successively $p-1$ times in 7.1.2 we get that the existence of such z is equivalent to the existence of a solution of the

system of equations

$$\sum_{k=\nu}^{p-1} k(k-1)\cdots(k-\nu+1)b_k a^{k-\nu} = c_\nu, \tag{7.1.3}$$

$\nu = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$, and the elements $c_\nu \in A$ are defined inductively from the relations $c_{\nu+1} = \frac{1}{Da}D(c_\nu)$, $c_1 = \frac{1}{Da}$. In fact,

$$c_\nu = \left(\frac{1}{Da}D\right)^{\nu-1}\left(\frac{1}{Da}\right).$$

Setting $\nu = p-1$ and by considering that by Wilson's theorem $(p-1)! = -1 \mod p$, we get that

$$b_{p-1} = -c_{p-1} = -\left(\frac{1}{Da}D\right)^{p-2}\left(\frac{1}{Da}\right). \tag{7.1.4}$$

Now it is possible to inductively solve 7.1.3 and find a solution $b_1, \ldots, b_{p-1}$. It remains to show that $b_i \in B$, for all i. I will show that $b_{p-1} \in B$. The rest follow by inverse induction.

Now by the Hochschild formula [Ma86, Theorem 25.5], for any $w \in A$,

$$(wD)^p = w^p D^p + (wD)^{p-1}(w)\cdot D.$$

Now from 7.1.4 and evaluating the Hochschield at a for $w = 1/Da$ and taking into consideration that $D^p = 0$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} D(b_{p-1}) = -D\left(\frac{1}{Da}D\right)^{p-2}\left(\frac{1}{Da}\right) = -Da\cdot\frac{1}{Da}D\left(\frac{1}{Da}D\right)^{p-2}\left(\frac{1}{Da}\right) = \\ = -Da\left(\frac{1}{Da}D\right)^{p-1}\left(\frac{1}{Da}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{Da}D\right)^{p}(a) = \\ \left(\frac{1}{Da}D\right)^{p-2}\left(\frac{1}{Da}D\left(\frac{1}{Da}Da\right)\right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $b_{p-1} \in B$. Then by induction and using 7.1.3 we get that $b_i \in B$ for all i. This completes the proof of the claim and hence there exists $z \in A$ such that $Dz = 1$. Then by [Ma86, Theorem 27.3], the elements z^k, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ form a basis for A as a free B-module. Then it is easy to see that

$$E_k = \{b_0 + b_1 z + \cdots b_k z^k |\ b_i \in B\}.$$

Hence E_k is free of rank $k+1$ away from the fixed locus of the α_p action, obtaining another proof that E_k has rank $k+1$. Moreover, L_k is a free B-module of rank 1 generated by z^k. But now it is clear that the map $\tau_k \colon L_1^k \to L_k$ is an isomorphism for all k.

Finally it is easy to verify that the map $\lambda \colon E_1 \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ given by $\lambda(a) = a^p$ is an F-splitting of the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \to E_1 \to L_1 \to 0,$$

where $F \colon X \to X$ is the absolute Frobenious map.

□

Remark 7.2. The maps $\tau_k \colon L_1^{[k]} \to L_k^{[1]}$ are not necessarily surjective at the fixed points of the α_p-action. For example, let $B = k[x, y]$ and $A = B[t]/(t^3 - x)$, where k is a field of characteristic 3. Let $D = t^2\frac{d}{dt}$. Then $D^3 = 0$ and $B = A^D$. An

easy calculation shows that $E_1(D) = \{b_0 + b_2t^2 |\ b_0, b_1 \in B\}$ and $E_2 = A$. Then $L_1 = E_1(D)/B$ is a free B-module generated by t^2 and $L_2 = E_2/E_1 = A/E_1$ is a free B-module generated by t. Then the image of the map

$$\tau_2 \colon L_1 \otimes L_1 \to L_2$$

is the B-submodule of L_2 generated by $t^4 = xt$. Hence τ_2 is not surjective in this case.

Remark 7.3. Proposition 7.1 gives an elementary self contained proof of the structure theorem of α_L-torsors given in Theorem 5.3.

The next propositions show how to reconstruct X from the data given in Proposition 7.1.

Proposition 7.4. *Let Y be an integral normal scheme of finite type over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Let*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{i} E \to L \to 0 \tag{7.4.1}$$

be a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules such that

1. *E is reflexive of rank 2 and L is torsion free of rank 1.*
2. *There exists an F-splitting $\lambda \colon E \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ of the exact sequence 7.4.1.*
3. *There exists a nonzero section $s \in H^0(Y, L^{[-1]})$.*

Let $\phi \colon S(E) \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ be the map defined locally by $\phi(a) = a^p$, if $a \in S^0(E) = \mathcal{O}_Y$, and $\phi(x_1 \cdots x_n) = \lambda(x_1) \cdots \lambda(x_n)$, if $x_1 \cdots x_n \in S^n(E)$, $n \geq 1$. Let

$$R(E, \lambda) = (S(E)/I)^{[1]}$$

where $I \subset S(E)$ be the ideal sheaf generated locally by $a - i(a), a \in \mathcal{O}_Y$, and $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi)$. Then the map

$$\pi \colon X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(R(E, \lambda)) \to Y$$

is a finite purely inseparable map of degree p and is an α_L-torsor over a codimension 2 open subset of Y. Moreover, X admits an α_p-action and Y is the quotient of X by this action.

Proof. Let $Sing(Y)$ be the singular part of Y. Since k is perfect, $Sing(Y)$ is a closed subset of Y of codimension ≥ 2. Let $Z \subset Y$ be the subset of Y where L is not free. Since L is torsion free and Y normal, Z is closed of codimension ≥ 2. Let $U = Y - (Sing(Y) \cup Z)$. Then U is open and of codimension ≥ 2. Moreover the restriction to U of E and L are locally free. Now since X is by construction a scheme satisfying the S_2 condition, all the statements of the proposition can be checked over U. In particular, if there is an α_p-action on $\pi^{-1}(U)$ whose quotient is U, this action is induced by a vector field D_u on $\pi^{-1}(U)$ such that $D_u^p = 0$. Then since $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is of codimension ≥ 2 in X, D_u extends to a vector field D on X such that $D^p = 0$. This vector field induces an α_p-action on X that extends the one on $\pi^{-1}(U)$.

Hence in order to prove the proposition we may assume that Y is smooth and E and L locally free. But then the construction of $\pi \colon X \to Y$ explained in the proposition is the $\alpha_{L^{-1}}$-torsor over Y defined by the exact sequence 7.4.1. The explicit structure of such a torsor was described in Proposition 5.3 and the proposition follows from this. □

Theorem 7.5. *Let X be an normal integral scheme of finite type over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that X admits a nontrivial α_p-action. Let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be the quotient. Then there exists a factorization*



such that

(1)
$$\delta\colon Z = \operatorname{Spec}_Y(R(E,\lambda)) \to Y$$
is the purely inseparable map of degree p defined by an F-split short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules
$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{i} E \to L \to 0$$
where E is reflexive of rank 2, L torsion free of rank 1, $\lambda\colon E \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ an F-splitting of the sequence and $H^0(Y, L^{[-1]}) \neq 0$.

(2) *$\phi\colon X \to Z$ is the normalization of Z.*

(3) *If $p = 2$ or α_p acts freely on X, then ϕ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, the α_p action on X is induced by a nontrivial vector field D on X such that $D^p = 0$. Then by Proposition 7.1, there exists an F-split exact sequence
$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{i} E \to L \to 0,$$
where $E = E_1(D) \subset \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is a rank 2 reflexive sheaf on Y and $L = E/\mathcal{O}_Y$ is a rank 1 torsion free sheaf on Y. Moreover, $H^0(Y, L^{[-1]}) \neq 0$. Let $\lambda\colon E \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ be an F-splitting of the sequence. Then let $R(E,\lambda)$ be the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-algebras as constructed in Proposition 7.4 and
$$\delta\colon Z = \operatorname{Spec}_Y(R(E,\lambda)) \to Y$$
the purely inseparable map of degree p defined by $R(E,\lambda)$. Let
$$\Psi\colon S(E) \to \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$$
be the map of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-algebras defined by the maps
$$\Psi_m\colon S^m(E) \to \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$$
given locally by $\Psi_m(x_1 \cdots x_m) = x_1 \cdots x_m$, for any $m \geq 0$. Then clearly $\Psi(a - i(a)) = 0$, for any $a \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ and therefore the ideal $(a - i(a)|\ a \in \mathcal{O}_Y) \subset \operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$. Let $\Phi\colon S(E) \to \mathcal{O}_Y$ be the map defined locally by $\Phi(a) = a^p$, for $a \in S^0(E) = \mathcal{O}_Y$ and on $S^n(E)$ by $\Phi(x_1x_2\cdots x_n) = \lambda(x_1)\lambda(x_2)\cdots\lambda(x_n)$, $m \geq 1$. Suppose that $w = \sum_i a_i x_{1,i}\cdots x_{n_i,i} \in S(E)$ such that $\Phi(w) = 0$. Then by the definition of λ and Φ this implies that
$$0 = \sum_i a_i^p \lambda(x_{1,i})\cdots\lambda(x_{n_i,i}) = \left(\sum_i a_i x_{1,i}\cdots x_{n_i,i}\right)^p.$$
Therefore $\sum_i a_i x_{1,i}\cdots x_{n_i,i} = 0$ and hence $w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\Psi)$. Hence there is a map of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-algebras
$$\Psi\colon R(E,\lambda) \to \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X. \tag{7.5.1}$$

Hence there exists a factorization



as claimed in the theorem. Since both π and δ are finite of degree p, it follows that ϕ has degree zero. Hence since X is normal, $\phi\colon X \to Z$ is the normalization of Z.

Suppose that $p = 2$. Then $E = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ and hence ϕ is an isomorphism.

Suppose that α_p acts freely on X. I will show that in this case the map Ψ in 7.5.1 is an isomorphism. This is a local result. Hence we can assume that $X = \mathrm{Spec}A$ and $Y = \mathrm{Spec}B$, where $B = A^D$. Then A is a free B-module of rank p and moreover, from the proof of Proposition 7.1 it follows that there exists a $z \in A$ such that $Dz = 1$ and $1, z, \dots, z^{p-1}$ generate A as a free B-module. Then

$$E = E_1 = \{a \in A |\ D^2a = 0\} = \{b_0 + b_1 z |\ b_0, b_1 \in B\}.$$

Then $\lambda\colon E \to B$ is defined by $\lambda(b_0 + b_1 z) = b_0^p + b_1^p z^p$. It is now easy to see that

$$R(E, \lambda) \cong \frac{B[u]}{(u^p - z^p)}$$

and that the map $\Psi\colon R(E, \lambda) \to A$ is defined by $\Psi(f(u)) = f(z)$. But since A is generated by $1, z, \dots, z^{p-1}$, this is an isomorphism. Hence Ψ is an isomorphism and therefore ϕ is as well, as claimed in the theorem. □

8. Adjunction formulas.

Let X be a scheme defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that X admits either a μ_p or an α_p action and let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be the quotient. The purpose of this section is to obtain adjunction formulas for π. If X is normal then adjunction formulas are provided by Proposition 2.1 and Theorems 6.5, 7.5. The purpose of this section is to give adjunction formulas in the case when X is not necessarily normal. One possible approach to the non-normal case would be to take the normalization $\nu\colon \tilde{X} \to X$ of X, lift the α_p or μ_p action on $\tilde{X}$ and then take the quotient again. This is equivalent to lifting the derivation inducing the action on the normalization of X. However this is not always possible for the reason that unlike the characteristic zero case where derivations lift to the normalization [Sei66], this is not true anymore in positive characteristic.

The next theorem gives an adjunction formula in the case when X has at worst normal crossing singularities in codimension one. This case is sufficient as far as the problem of compactifying the moduli space of canonically polarized surfaces is concerned, the main motivation of this work.

Theorem 8.1. *Let X be an integral scheme defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that X has a dualizing sheaf ω_X, satisfies Serre's condition S_2 and that it has at worst normal crossing singularities in codimension one. Suppose that X admits either a μ_p or an α_p action and let $\pi\colon X \to Y$ be the quotient of X by the action. Then Y has a dualizing sheaf ω_Y and*

$$\omega_X = \left(\pi^*\omega_Y \otimes I_{\mathrm{fix}}^{[1-p]}\right)^{[1]},$$

where I_{fix} is the ideal sheaf of the fixed locus of the action.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, the action is induced by a global vector field D such that either $D^p = D$ (the μ_p-action case) or $D^p = 0$ (the α_p-action case). I will only do the case when $D^p = 0$, which corresponds to the α_p action. The other is similar and is ommited.

The map π is purely inseparable of degree p. Therefore there is a factorization



where $F\colon X \to X^{(p)}$ is the relative FrobeniouFrobenius. Since X has a dualizing sheaf, $X^{(p)}$ also has a dualizing sheaf and hence since δ is finite, Y has a dualizing sheaf ω_Y as well.

The proof of the theorem will be in several steps.

Step 1. I will show that Y has Gorenstein singularities in codimension 1.

Let $U = X - Fix(D)$, where $Fix(D)$ is the fixed locus of D. Then U is open and nonempty. Let $V = \pi(U) \subset Y$. Since D has no fixed points in U, the restriction $\pi\colon U \to V$ is faithfully flat. Let $P \in U$ be a Gorenstein point and $Q = \pi(P) \in V$. I will show that $Q \in V$ is also Gorenstein. Let $A = \mathcal{O}_{X,P}$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_{Y,Q}$. Then B is Gorenstein if and only if $\operatorname{injdim}(B) = \dim B$. Let $n = \dim B$. Then $n = \dim A$ and since A is Gorenstein, $\operatorname{injdim}(A) = n$. Let M be a B-module. Since $B \to A$ is faithfully flat,

$$\operatorname{Ext}_B^{n+1}(M, B) \otimes_B A = \operatorname{Ext}_A^{n+1}(M \otimes_B A, A) = 0,$$

since $\operatorname{injdim}(A) = n$. Since A is faithfully flat over B it follows that $\operatorname{Ext}_B^{n+1}(M, B) = 0$ and therefore B is Gorenstein.

Let now $P \in X$ be a codimension 1 Gorenstein point that is also fixed by D. Let $Q = \pi(P)$. Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P} \cong \frac{L[[x, y]}{(xy)},$$

where L is a coefficient field of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P}$. Moreover, by Proposition 4.4, D extends to a k-derivation $\hat{D}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P}$. Let m_Q, m_P be the maximal ideals of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P}$, respectively.

Case 1. Suppose that $m_Q\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P} = m_P$. In this case it is not hard to see that after a change of ccordinates, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P} \cong L[[x, y]/(xy)$, and $m_Q = (x, y)$, i.e., $x, y \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$. Therefore, $\hat{D} = f(x, y)D_L$, where D_L is a derivation of L. Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q} \cong \frac{M[[x, y]]}{(xy)},$$

where $M = L^{D_L} = \{a \in L|\ D_L(a) = 0\}$. Hence in this case, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$ is a normal crossings singularity and therefore Gorenstein.

Case 2. Suppose that $m_Q\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P} \neq m_P$. Then since π has degree p, the natural map $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q} \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $L \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q}$. Then after a change of coordinates

$$\hat{D} = xf(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + yg(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Then if $(f(x), g(y)) \neq (0,0)$, then

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q} = \frac{L[[x^p, y^p]]}{(x^p y^p)},$$

and if one of $f(x)$, $g(y)$ is zero, then

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Q} \cong \frac{L[[x^p, y]]}{(x^p y)}.$$

In any case, $Q \in Y$ is also normal crossings and hence Gorenstein.

Step 2. Since X satisfies Serre's condition S_2, by Proposition **??** Y also satisfies it. Hence since both X and Y are Gorenstein in codimension 1 and the formula claimed in the theorem is determined over an open set of codimension ≥ 2, it suffices to assume that both X and Y are Gorenstein.

From now on I assume that X and Y are Gorenstein. Then from the proof of Theorem 5.3 it follows that

$$\omega_X = \pi^* \omega_Y \otimes \pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y,$$

where $\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{H}om_Y(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. Let $f_D \in H^0(X, \pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)$ be the section defined locally by $f_D(a) = D^{p-1}a$. This defines an injection

$$\sigma \colon \mathcal{H}om_X(\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Hence σ induces an isomorphism of $(\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)^*$ with a principal ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$. In fact I will show that σ induces an isomorphism of $(\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)^*$ with $I_{\mathrm{Fix}}^{[p-1]}$. Recall that by its definition, $I_{\mathrm{Fix}} = (D(\mathcal{O}_X))$.

Claim 1.

$$(D(\mathcal{O}_X))^{p-1} \subset \mathrm{Im}(\sigma).$$

This can be checked locally. So suppose that X and Y are affine. Say, $X = \mathrm{Spec} A$ and $Y = \mathrm{Spec} B$, where $B = A^D = \{a \in A |\ Da = 0\}$. Since X and Y are Gorenstein, $\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y$ is invertible. Let $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_B(A, B)$ be a generator as a free A-module. Then there exists $\alpha_0 \in A$ such that $f_D = \alpha_0 \cdot g$ and therefore $\mathrm{Im}(\sigma) = (\alpha_0)$.

Claim 1.1 For any $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p \in A$,

$$g(a_0 a_1 \cdots a_p) = -2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} a_i D a_1 \cdots \hat{D} a_i \cdots D a_p + \tag{8.1.1}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq p} (-1)^k (2k-1) a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} g(a_o \cdots \hat{a}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{i_k} \cdots a_p) \right).$$

I proceed to prove the claim. According to Leibniz formula for derivations

(8.1.2)

$$g(a_0 a_1 \cdots a_p) = D^{p-1}(a_1 \cdots a_p) = \sum_{k_1 + k_2 + \cdots k_p = p-1} \binom{p-1}{k_1, \cdots, k_p} D^{k_1} a_1 \cdots D^{k_p} a_p.$$

From this it follows that in order to prove the claim it sufffices to show that the terms

$$a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} D^{\nu_{k+1}} a_{i_{k+1}} \cdots D^{\nu_p} a_{i_p} \tag{8.1.3}$$

appear with the same coefficient ($\mod p$) on both sides of the equation 8.1.1, for all $1 \leq i_s \leq p$, $1 \leq s \leq k$, $\nu_{k+1} + \cdots + \nu_p = p - 1$.

Suppose that $k = 1$. Then the term $a_i Da_1 \cdots \hat{Da_i} \cdots Da_p$ appears $p - 1 = -1 \mod p$ times on the left hand side of 8.1.1 and $-2 - (p-1) = -p - 1 = -1 \mod p$ on the right hand side of 8.1.1.

Suppose that $k \geq 2$. On the left hand side of 8.1.1, the term 8.1.3 appears $\lambda = \binom{p-1}{\nu_{k+1},\ldots,\nu_p}$ times. On the right hand side it appears

$$\left(\sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s (2s-1) \binom{k}{s} \right) \lambda.$$

I will show that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s (2s-1) \binom{k}{s} = 1 \tag{8.1.4}$$

and therefore 8.1.1 holds. First notice that from the formula

$$0 = ((1 + (-1))^k = \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^s \binom{k}{s}$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} = -1. \tag{8.1.5}$$

Now let

$$f(x) = (1-x)^k = \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^s x^s \binom{k}{s}.$$

Then

$$f'(x) = \sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s s x^{s-1} \binom{k}{s}$$

and therefore

$$f'(1) = \sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s s \binom{k}{s}$$

But since $f'(1) = 0$, it follows that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s s \binom{k}{s} = 0. \tag{8.1.6}$$

Taking into consideration 8.1.5 and 8.1.6, it follows that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s (2s-1) \binom{k}{s} = 2 \sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s s \binom{k}{s} - \sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} = 0 + 1 = 1.$$

This proves 8.1.4 and hence the Claim 1.1.

Claim 1.2. For any $a \in A$,

$$(Da)^{p-1} = -\left(a^{p-1} g(1) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} a^{p-1-k} g(a^k) \right) a_0. \tag{8.1.7}$$

This relation shows that $I_{\mathrm{Fix}}^{[p-1]} \subset \mathrm{Im}(\sigma) \cong \mathcal{H}om_X(\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

In the formula 8.1.1 put $a_1 = a_0^{p-1}$ and $a_s = a^{s-1}$, for $2 \leq s \leq p$. Then

$$g(a_0 a_1 \cdots a_p) = a_0^p a^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} g(1) \tag{8.1.8}$$

and

$$a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_s} g(a_o \cdots \hat{a}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{i_s} \cdots a_p) = \begin{cases} a_0^p a^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}-k} g(a^k), & \text{if } i_1 \neq 1 \\ a_0^{p-1} a^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}-k} g(a_0 a^k), & \text{if } i_1 = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq p-1$ is such that $\sum_{\nu=1}^{s}(i_\nu - 1) = p\delta - k$, $\delta \geq 0$. The claim will be proved by counting the times ($\mod p$) that the terms $a_0^p a^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}-k} g(a^k)$ and $a_0^{p-1} a^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}-k} g(a_0 a^k)$ appear in 8.1.1.

Let $d_k, \tilde{d}_k$ be the number of times that $a_0^{p-1} a^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}-k} g(a_0 a^k)$ and $a_0^p a^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}-k} g(a^k)$ appear in the right hand side of 8.1.1, respectively. Then d_k is the number of terms of the form $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_\nu} g(a_o \cdots \hat{a}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{i_\nu} \cdots a_p)$ such that $2 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_\nu \leq p$ and $i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_\nu = -k \mod p$. Then it is not difficult to see that

$$d_k = \sum_{s=2}^{p} (-1)^s (2s-1) d_k(s) \tag{8.1.9}$$

$$\tilde{d}_k = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{s+1} (2s-1) d_k(s) \tag{8.1.10}$$

where

$$d_k(\nu) = \#\{(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\nu) |\ i_1 + i_2 + \cdots i_\nu = \nu - k \mod p,\ 2 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_\nu \leq p\} =$$
$$\#\{(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\nu) |\ i_1 + i_2 + \cdots i_\nu = -k \mod p,\ 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_\nu \leq p-1\}.$$

Claim 1.2.1.

(1) $d_k = 0 \mod p$,
(2) $\tilde{d}_k = -2 \mod p$, $k \geq 1$,
(3) $\tilde{d}_0 = 2 \mod p$.

I will prove the first part of the claim. The other is proved similarly and is left to the reader.

The first step is to get an explicit formula for $d_k(\nu)$. Let

$$\delta_k(\nu) = \#\{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\nu) | x_i \neq x_j, \text{for } i \neq j, x_1 + x_2 + \cdots x_\nu = k \mod p\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that there is the following inductive formula

$$\delta_k(\nu) = \frac{\nu!}{p} \binom{p}{\nu} - \nu \delta_k(\nu - 1).$$

From this it immediately follows that

$$\delta_k(\nu) = \frac{\nu!}{p} \sum_{s=0}^{\nu-1} (-1)^s \binom{p}{\nu - s}. \tag{8.1.11}$$

Let

$$\Omega_\nu = \{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\nu) | x_i \neq x_j, \text{for } i \neq j, x_1 + x_2 + \cdots x_\nu = k \mod p\}.$$

Then S_ν acts on Ω_ν by $\sigma \cdot (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_\nu) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(\nu)})$, $\sigma \in S_\nu$. It is easy to see that the action is free and that all the orbits have the same number

of elements. Moreover, in the orbit of any element $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\nu)$, there exists a unique $\sigma \in S_\nu$ such that $x_{\sigma(1)} < x_{\sigma(2)} < \cdots < X_{\sigma(\nu)}$. Then

$$d_k(\nu) = \frac{|\Omega_\nu|}{|S_\nu|} = \frac{1}{\nu!}\delta_k(\nu) = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{s=0}^{\nu-1}(-1)^s \binom{p}{\nu - s}. \tag{8.1.12}$$

From the equations 8.1.9, 8.1.12 it follows that $d_k(\nu)$, and hence d_k is a sum of terms of the form $\binom{p}{s}$. Hence there $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$d_k = \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \lambda_i \binom{p}{s}.$$

I will show that $\lambda_s = (-1)^{s+1}\frac{1}{p}[p^2 - s^2]$.

From the equation 8.1.9 and 8.1.12 it follows that

$$\lambda_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{p}\left((2k+1) + (2k+3) + \cdots + (2k+2p-2k-1)\right) =$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^k}{p}\left(2k(p-k) + (p-k)^2\right) = \frac{(-1)^k)}{p}[p^2 - k^2].$$

Hence

$$d_k = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{s=1}^{p-1}(-1)^s(p^2 - s^2)\binom{p}{s} = \tag{8.1.13}$$
$$p\sum_{s=1}^{p-1}(-1)^s\binom{p}{s} + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{s=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{s+1}s^2\binom{p}{s}.$$

Now considering that $\binom{p}{s} = \binom{p}{p-s}$, it follows that the coefficient of $\binom{p}{s}$ in the second summand is

$$(-1)^{s+1}s^2 + (-1)^{ps+1}(p-s)^2 = (-1)^{s+1}(2ps - p^2).$$

Hence from 8.1.13 it follows that $d_k = 0 \mod p$, as claimed. Therefore there are no terms of the form $a_0^{p-1}a^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}-k}g(a_0a^k)$ in the right hand side of 8.1.1,

Next I consider the terms of the form $a_sDa_1\cdots\hat{D}a_s\cdots Da_p$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$a_sDa_1\cdots\hat{D}a_s\cdots Da_p = \frac{1}{s-1}a_0^{p-2}aa^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}}Da_0(Da)^{p-2},$$

for $s \geq 2$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=2}^{p} a_iDa_1\cdots\hat{D}a_i\cdots Da_p = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p-1}\right)a_0^{p-2}aa^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}}Da_0(Da)^{p-2} =$$
$$(1 + 2 + \cdots (p-1))\, aa^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}}Da_0(Da)^{p-2} =$$
$$\frac{p(p-1)}{2}aa^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}}Da_0(Da)^{p-2} = 0 \text{ mod p}$$

Therefore there are also no terms of the form

$$\sum_{i=2}^{p} a_iDa_1\cdots\hat{D}a_i\cdots Da_p$$

in the right hand side of 8.1.1. Moreover

$$a_1 Da_2 \cdots Da_p = -a_0^{p-1} a^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} (Da)^{p-1} \tag{8.1.14}$$

Now combining Claim 1.2.1, 8.1.8, 8.1.14 and Claim 1.1 we get Claim 1.2.

Hence it has been proved that locally

$$I_{\text{fix}}^{p-1} = (D(A))^{p-1} = \left(a^{p-1} g(1) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} a^{p-1-k} g(a^k) \right) a_0 \subset (a_0) = \subset (\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)^*.$$

In order to prove equality of their double duals it suffices it check it at codimension one points of X. Hence we may assume that A is the completion of the local ring of X at a codimension one point. Then in order to prove equality I will explicitly give a generator g of $\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y = \text{Hom}_B(A, B)$ and study the relation between $I_{fix}^{[p-1]}$ and $(\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)^*$.

Case 1. A is the completion of the local ring of X at a smooth point of codimension one.

In this case, $A \cong L[[x]]$, where L is a coefficient field of A. Then either $B = L[[x^p]]$ and $D = f(t)\frac{d}{dt}$, or $B = M[[x]]$ and D is induced by an M-derivation of L, where $M \subset L$ is purely inseparable of degree p. In the first case $g = \frac{d^{p-1}}{dt^{p-1}}$ is a generator of $\text{Hom}_B(A, B)$ as a free A-module of rank one. In the second case, D^{p-1} is a generator.

Case 2. A is the completion of the local ring of X at a normal crossing point of codimension one.

In this case $A = L[[x, y]]/(xy)$ and moreover, as was explained in Step 1 of the proof, one of the following happens.

(1) $D = xf(x)\frac{d}{dt} + yg(y)\frac{d}{dt}$ and $B = \frac{L[[x^p, y^p]]}{(x^p y^p)}$, if $(f(x), g(y)) \neq (0, 0)$, or $B = \frac{L[[x^p, y]]}{(x^p y)}$, if $f(y) = 0$.

(2) D is induced by a derivation of L and $B = L^D[[x, y]]/(xy)$.

In the first case, $g = D_0^{p-1} - id$ is a generator of $\text{Hom}_B(A, B)$ as a free A-module of rank one, where $D_0 = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, or $D = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. In the second case, D^{p-1} is a generator. The verification of these statements are rather tedious but straightforward and they are left to the reader.

Suppose we are in case 1. Then $A = L[[x]]$ and for $a = x$, $a^{p-1} g(1) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} a^{p-1-k} g(a^k)$ is a unit in A. Hence

$$(D(A))^{p-1} = \left(a^{p-1} g(1) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} a^{p-1-k} g(a^k) \right) a_0 = (a_0) = \text{Hom}_B(A, B) = (\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)^*.$$

Suppose we are in case 2. Suppose that $D = xf(x)\frac{d}{dt} + yg(y)\frac{d}{dt}$. Then explicit calculations in 8.1.7 show that

$$I_{\text{fix}}^{p-1} = (D(A))^{p-1} = (xy, x^{p-1} f(x)^{p-1}, y^{p-1} g(y)^{p-1}),$$
$$(\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)^* = (xy, f(x)^{p-1} + g(y)^{p-1}).$$

Let $J = (xy, x^{p-1}, y^{p-1})$. Then

$$I_{\text{fix}}^{p-1} = J \cdot (\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)^* = J \otimes (\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)^*$$

and therefore

$$J^{**} \otimes (\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)^* = I_{\text{fix}}^{[p-1]}.$$

Now it is not hard to see that $J^{**} = A$ and hence

$$(\pi^! \mathcal{O}_Y)^* = I_{\text{fix}}^{[p-1]}.$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

□

References

[AA86] A. Aramova, L. Avramov, *Singularities of quotients by vector fields in characteristic $p > 0$*, Math. Ann. 273, 1986, 629-645.

[B03] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra II*, Springer, 2003.

[DG70] M. Demazure, P. Gabriel, *Groupes Algébriques*, Masson, Paris, 1970.

[Ek86] T. Ekedhal, *Canonical models of surfaces of general type in positive characteristic*, Inst. Hautes Êtudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 67, 1988, 97-144.

[Ek87] T. Ekedhal, *Foliations and inseparable morphisms*, Algebraic Geometry Bowdoin 1987, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 46, Part 2, AMS 1987, 139-146.

[Fo73] R. M. Fossum, *The divisor class group of a Krull domain*, Erg. d. Math., Band 74, Springer, Heidelberg, 1973.

[Ha77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer, 1977.

[Ha94] R. Hartshorne, *Generalized divisors on Gorenstein schemes*, Proceedings of Conference on Algebraic Geometry and Ring Theory in honor of Michael Artin, Part III (Antwerp, 1992), vol. 8, 1994, 287-339.

[Hi99] M. Hirokado, *Singularities of multiplicative p-closed vector fields and global 1-forms on Zariski surfaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 39, 1999, 479-487.

[Jac64] N. Jacobson, *Lectures in abstract algebra, Vol III: Theory of fields and Galois theory*, D. Van Nostrand Co. 1964.

[Je78] A. T. Jensen, *Picard schemes of quotients by finite commutative group schemes*, Math. Scand. 42, 1978, 197-210.

[Li08] C. Liedtke, *Uniruled surfaces of general type*, Math. Z. 259, 775-797.

[Ma86] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 8, 1986.

[Mi80] J. Milne, *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton University Press, 1980.

[Mi12] J. Milne, *Basic theory of affine group schemes*.

[Mu70] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Studies in Math., Oxford University Press, 1970.

[Pi05] R. Pink, *Finite group schemes*, Lecture course in WS 2004/05, ETH, Zurich.

[R-S76] A. N. Rudakov, I. R. Shafarevich, *Inseparable morphisms of algebraic surfaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 40, 1976, 1269-1307.

[Sch07] S. Schröer, *Kummer surfaces for the self product of the cuspidal rational curve*, J. Algebraic Geom. 16, 2007, 305-346.

[Sei66] A. Seidenberg, *Derivations and integral closure*, Pac. J. Math. 16, 167-174, 1966.

Department of Mathematics, University of Cyprus, P.O. Box 20537, Nicosia, 1678, Cyprus

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Fine Hall, Washington Road, Princeton, NJ 08544

E-mail address: tziolas@ucy.ac.cy